1	NELSON BUMGARDNER, P.C. Edward R. Nelson, III ( <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> )
$_{2}$	ed@nelbum.com
	Brent Nelson Bumgardner ( <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> ) brent@nelbum.com
3	Barry J. Bumgardner ( <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> )
4	barry@nelbum.com
5	Thomas Christopher Cecil ( <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> ) tom@nelbum.com
6	Stacie Greskowiak McNulty (Pro Hac Vice)
7	stacie@nelbum.com John Murphy ( <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> )
8	murphy@nelbum.com 3131 West 7 <sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 300
9	Fort Worth, Texas 76107
10	[Tel.] (817) 377-9111 [Fax] (817) 377-3485
11	BANYS, P.C.
12	Christopher D. Banys (SBN 230038)
	cdb@banyspc.com Jennifer Lu Gilbert (SBN 255820)
13	jlg@banyspc.com
14	Christopher J. Judge (SBN 274418) cjj@banyspc.com
15	Richard Cheng-hong Lin (SBN 209233)
16	rcl@banyspc.com 1032 Elwell Court, Suite 100
17	Palo Alto, California 94303
18	[Tel.] (650) 308-8505 [Fax] (650) 353-2202
19	ALBRITTON LAW FIRM Eric M. Albritton ( <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> )
20	ema@emafirm.com
21	P.O. Box 2649 Longview, Texas 75606
22	[Tel.] (903) 757-8449
23	[Fax] (903) 758-7397
24	Attorneys for Plaintiff
25	PHOENIX DIGITAL SOLUTIONS LLC
26	
27	
28	
ا تا∠	

1	KIRBY NOONAN LANCE & HOGE LLP	
1	Charles T. Hoge (SBN 110696)	
2	choge@knlh.com	
2	350 Tenth Avenue, Suite 1300 San Diego, California 92101	
3	[Tel.] (619) 231-8666	
4	[161.] (617) 231 6666	
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff PATRIOT SCIENTIFIC CORPORATION	
6		
7	SILICON VALLEY LAW GROUP William L. Bretschneider (SBN 144561)	
/	withain L. Bietseinieder (SBN 144301) wlb@svlg.com	
8	50 W. San Fernando Street, Suite 750	
9	San Jose, California 95113	
9	[Tel.] (408) 573-5700	
10	[Fax] (408) 573-5701	
11	Attorneys for Plaintiff	
12	TECHNOLOGY PROPERTIES LIMITED I	LLC
13		
	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
14	NORTHERN DISTR	CICT OF CALIFORNIA
15	NORTHERNOISIN	der of California
16		I
17	TECHNOLOGY PROPERTIES LIMITED	Case No. 3:12-CV-03863-VC (PSG)
1 /	LLC, PHOENIX DIGITAL SOLUTIONS	PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR
18	LLC, and PATRIOT SCIENTIFIC	ADMINISTRATIVE RELIEF FOR
19	CORPORATION,	LEAVE TO FILE SUR-REPLY BRIEF
19	Plaintiffs,	IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR DE
20	Fiantins,	NOVO DETERMINATION OF
21	v.	DISPOSITIVE MATTER REFERRED
۷1		TO MAGISTRATE JUDGE
22	BARNES & NOBLE INC.,	Docket No: 89
23	Defendant.	
	Defendant.	Hearing:
24		Date: July 23, 2015 Time: 10:00 a.m.
25		Place: Courtroom 4 - 17 <sup>th</sup> Floor
		Judge: Hon. Vince Chhabria
26		
27		
28		

#### 1 PRELIMINARY STATEMENT 2 Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 7-11, Plaintiffs Phoenix Digital Solutions LLC, Technology 3 Properties Limited LLC, and Patriot Scientific Corporation ("Plaintiffs") seek leave to file a surreply brief in opposition to Defendant Barnes & Noble, Inc.'s ("B&N") Motion for De Novo 4 5 Determination of Dispositive Matter Referred to Magistrate Judge. A copy of Plaintiffs' sur-reply brief is attached hereto as Exhibit A. 6 Plaintiffs sought B&N's stipulation to the filing of their sur-reply, which was agreed to in 7 exchange for Plaintiffs' stipulation to B&N's filing of its reply. (Bumgardner Decl., $^{-1}$ ¶ 2). 8 **ARGUMENT** 9 10 B&N has argued that two recent opinions necessitate additional briefing on its Motion for De Novo Determination of Dispositive Matter Referred to Magistrate Judge. (See Dkt. No. 101). 11 At the time of the filing of B&N's Motion for Administrative Relief for Permission to File Reply 12 13 Brief, Phoenix Digital Solutions LLC had notified B&N that it would oppose the motion unless B&N would agree not to oppose a motion by Plaintiffs for similar administrative relief, i.e., for 14 15 permission to file a sur-reply regarding the same new material. While an initial agreement between the parties could not be reached, a compromise was reached in subsequent discussions. 16 (See Bumgardner Decl., ¶ 2). The parties no longer oppose one another seeking such 17 18 administrative relief. 19 CONCLUSION 20 Consequently, to the extent that the Court is inclined to grant B&N's Motion permitting a 21 reply, Plaintiffs request that the Court also grant Plaintiffs' Motion for Administrative Relief for Permission to File a Sur-Reply to address these new issues. 22 23 24 Dated: July 8, 2015 Respectfully Submitted, 25 /s/ Brent N. Bumgardner NELSON BUMGARDNER, P.C. 26 27 References herein to "Bumgardner Decl." refer to the Declaration of Brent N. Bumgardner. 28

1

PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR ADMINISTRATIVE RELIEF

CASE No. 3:12-CV-03863-VC-PSG

1	Edward R. Nelson, III ( <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> )
	ed@nelbum.com Brent Nelson Bumgardner ( <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> )
2	brent@nelbum.com
3	Barry J. Bumgardner ( <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> ) barry@nelbum.com
4	Thomas Christopher Cecil (Pro Hac Vice)
5	tom@nelbum.com Stacie Greskowiak McNulty ( <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> )
6	stacie@nelbum.com
	John Murphy (Pro Hac Vice)
7	murphy@nelbum.com 3131 West 7 <sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 300
8	Fort Worth, Texas 76107
9	[Tel.] (817) 377-9111 [Fax] (817) 377-3485
10	[14.4] (017) 377 3103
11	BANYS, P.C. Christopher D. Banys (SBN 230038)
	cdb@banyspc.com
12	Jennifer Lu Gilbert (SBN 255820) jlg@banyspc.com
13	Christopher J. Judge (SBN 274418)
14	cjj@banyspc.com
15	Richard Cheng-hong Lin (SBN 209233) rcl@banyspc.com
16	1032 Elwell Court, Suite 100
	Palo Alto, California 94303 [Tel.] (650) 308-8505
17	[Fax] (650) 353-2202
18	ALBRITTON LAW FIRM
19	Eric M. Albritton (Pro Hac Vice)
20	ema@emafirm.com P.O. Box 2649
21	Longview, Texas 75606
	[Tel.] (903) 757-8449 [Fax] (903) 758-7397
22	[1 th] (703) 130 1371
23	Attorneys for Plaintiff PHOENIX DIGITAL SOLUTIONS LLC
24	THOENIA DIGITAL GOLD HONG ELE
25	
26	/s/ Charles T. Hoge (by permission)
27	KIRBY NOONAN LANCE & HOGE LLP Charles T. Hoge (SBN 110696)
28	choge@knlh.com
40	350 Tenth Avenue, Suite 1300
- 1	

PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR ADMINISTRATIVE RELIEF

San Diego, California 92101 [Tel.] (619) 231-8666
Attorneys for Plaintiff
PATRIOT SCIENTIFIC CORPORATION
/s/ William L. Bretschneider (by permission)
SILICON VALLEY LAW GROUP William L. Bretschneider (SBN 144561)
wlb@svlg.com 50 W. San Fernando Street, Suite 750
San Jose, California 95113
[Tel.] (408) 573-5700 [Fax] (408) 573-5701
Attorneys for Plaintiff
TECHNOLOGY PROPERTIES LIMITED LLC
LLC

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE** I hereby certify that, on July 8, 2015, I caused the foregoing document to be served on counsel of record via the Court's CM/ECF system. By: /s/ Brent N. Bumgardner
Brent N. Bumgardner Dated: July 8, 2015 

1	NELSON BUMGARDNER, P.C. Edward R. Nelson, III ( <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> )
$_{2}$	ed@nelbum.com
	Brent Nelson Bumgardner ( <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> ) brent@nelbum.com
3	Barry J. Bumgardner ( <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> )
4	barry@nelbum.com
5	Thomas Christopher Cecil ( <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> ) tom@nelbum.com
6	Stacie Greskowiak McNulty (Pro Hac Vice)
7	stacie@nelbum.com John Murphy ( <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> )
8	murphy@nelbum.com 3131 West 7 <sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 300
9	Fort Worth, Texas 76107
10	[Tel.] (817) 377-9111 [Fax] (817) 377-3485
11	BANYS, P.C.
12	Christopher D. Banys (SBN 230038)
	cdb@banyspc.com Jennifer Lu Gilbert (SBN 255820)
13	jlg@banyspc.com
14	Christopher J. Judge (SBN 274418) cjj@banyspc.com
15	Richard Cheng-hong Lin (SBN 209233)
16	rcl@banyspc.com 1032 Elwell Court, Suite 100
17	Palo Alto, California 94303
18	[Tel.] (650) 308-8505 [Fax] (650) 353-2202
19	ALBRITTON LAW FIRM Eric M. Albritton ( <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> )
20	ema@emafirm.com
21	P.O. Box 2649 Longview, Texas 75606
22	[Tel.] (903) 757-8449
23	[Fax] (903) 758-7397
24	Attorneys for Plaintiff
25	PHOENIX DIGITAL SOLUTIONS LLC
26	
27	
28	
ا تا∠	

1	KIRBY NOONAN LANCE & HOGE LLP	
1	Charles T. Hoge (SBN 110696)	
2	choge@knlh.com 350 Tenth Avenue, Suite 1300	
3	San Diego, California 92101	
5	[Tel.] (619) 231-8666	
4		
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff PATRIOT SCIENTIFIC CORPORATION	
6	Creation Visit Prof. Law Co. Creation	
7	SILICON VALLEY LAW GROUP William L. Bretschneider (SBN 144561)	
′	wlb@svlg.com	
8	50 W. San Fernando Street, Suite 750	
9	San Jose, California 95113	
	[Tel.] (408) 573-5700	
10	[Fax] (408) 573-5701	
11	Attorneys for Plaintiff	
10	TECHNOLOGY PROPERTIES LIMITED I	LLC
12		
13		
14	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
	NORTHERN DISTR	ICT OF CALIFORNIA
15	-	
16		1
17	TECHNOLOGY PROPERTIES LIMITED	Case No. 3:12-CV-03863-VC (PSG)
1 /	LLC, PHOENIX DIGITAL SOLUTIONS	DECLADATION OF BRENEN
18	LLC, and PATRIOT SCIENTIFIC	DECLARATION OF BRENT N. BUMGARDNER IN SUPPORT OF
19	CORPORATION,	PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR
19	Plaintiffs,	ADMINISTRATIVE RELIEF FOR
20	Traintins,	LEAVE TO FILE SUR-REPLY IN
21	v.	OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT
<b>41</b>		BARNES & NOBLE, INC.'s MOTION FOR DE NOVO DETERMINATION OF
22	BARNES & NOBLE INC.,	DISPOSITIVE MATTER REFERRED
23	Defendant.	TO MAGISTRATE JUDGE
	Defendant.	Dealest New 90
24		Docket No: 89
25		Hearing:
26		Date: July 23, 2015
26		Time: 10:00 a.m.
27		Place: Courtroom 4 - 17 <sup>th</sup> Floor Judge: Hon. Vince Chhabria
28		Judge. 11011. VIIICE CIIIIa011a
∠()		1

1	I, Brent N. Bumgardner, declare:
2	1. I am a member of the State Bar of Texas, admitted to practice, <i>pro hac vice</i> , before
3	this Court, and a partner at the law firm of Nelson Bumgardner, P.C. ("Nelson Bumgardner"),
4	attorneys for Plaintiff Phoenix Digital Solutions LLC. I make this declaration on personal, first-
5	hand knowledge, and if called and sworn as a witness, I could and would testify as set forth below
6	2. On Tuesday, July 7, 2015, Nelson Bumgardner asked counsel for Barnes & Noble
7	Inc. whether it would consent to Plaintiffs' Motion for Administrative Relief to file a sur-reply
8	regarding the motion for de novo determination of dispositive matter referred to the magistrate
9	judge. Barnes & Noble, Inc.'s counsel informed Nelson Bumgardner that they would not oppose
10	the motion if Plaintiffs would limit the sur-reply to three pages or less and not oppose Barnes &
11	Noble Inc.'s motion for administrative leave to file a reply. Consequently, the parties no longer
12	oppose one another seeking such administrative relief.
13	3. Attached as Exhibit A is a true and correct copy of Plaintiffs' sur-reply brief in
14	opposition to Defendant Barnes & Noble Inc.'s Motion for De Novo Determination of Dispositiv
15	Matter Referred to Magistrate Judge.
16	I declare, under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.
17	Executed on July 8, 2015
18	By <u>/s/ Brent N. Bumgardner</u>
19	Brent N. Bumgardner Attorney for Phoenix Digital Solutions LLC
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

# Exhibit "A"

l II	
1	NELSON BUMGARDNER, P.C. Edward R. Nelson, III ( <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> )
2	ed@nelbum.com
	Brent Nelson Bumgardner (Pro Hac Vice)
3	brent@nelbum.com
4	Barry J. Bumgardner ( <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> ) barry@nelbum.com
	Thomas Christopher Cecil ( <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> )
5	tom@nelbum.com
6	Stacie Greskowiak McNulty (Pro Hac Vice)
7	stacie@nelbum.com John Murphy ( <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> )
′	murphy@nelbum.com
8	3131 West 7 <sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 300
9	Fort Worth, Texas 76107
10	[Tel.] (817) 377-9111 [Fax] (817) 377-3485
10	[14x](017)377 3403
11	BANYS, P.C.
12	Christopher D. Banys (SBN 230038)
	cdb@banyspc.com Jennifer Lu Gilbert (SBN 255820)
13	jlg@banyspc.com
14	Christopher J. Judge (SBN 274418)
15	cjj@banyspc.com Richard Cheng-hong Lin (SBN 209233)
13	rcl@banyspc.com
16	1032 Elwell Court, Suite 100
17	Palo Alto, California 94303 [Tel.] (650) 308-8505
18	[Fax] (650) 353-2202
19	ALBRITTON LAW FIRM
20	Eric M. Albritton ( <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> ) ema@emafirm.com
21	P.O. Box 2649
	Longview, Texas 75606
22	[Tel.] (903) 757-8449 [Fax] (903) 758-7397
23	
24	Attorneys for Plaintiff
	PHOENIX DIGITAL SOLUTIONS LLC
25	
26	
27	
28	

1	KIRBY NOONAN LANCE & HOGE LLP Charles T. Hoge (SBN 110696)	
2	choge@knlh.com 350 Tenth Avenue, Suite 1300	
3	San Diego, California 92101	
4	[Tel.] (619) 231-8666	
	Attorneys for Plaintiff	
5	PATRIOT SCIENTIFIC CORPORATION	
6	SILICON VALLEY LAW GROUP	
7	William L. Bretschneider (SBN 144561)	
8	wlb@svlg.com 50 W. San Fernando Street, Suite 750	
9	San Jose, California 95113	
	[Tel.] (408) 573-5700 [Fax] (408) 573-5701	
10	[1 47] (400) 373-3701	
11	Attorneys for Plaintiff TECHNOLOGY PROPERTIES LIMITED	пс
12	TECHNOLOGY PROPERTIES LIVITIED	LLC
13		
14	UNITED STATES	S DISTRICT COURT
15	NORTHERN DISTR	RICT OF CALIFORNIA
16		1
17	TECHNOLOGY PROPERTIES LIMITED	Case No. 3:12-CV-03863-VC (PSG)
18	LLC, PHOENIX DIGITAL SOLUTIONS LLC, and PATRIOT SCIENTIFIC	PLAINTIFFS' SUR-REPLY IN
	CORPORATION,	OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT BARNES & NOBLE, INC.'s MOTION
19	Plaintiffs,	FOR DE NOVO DETERMINATION OF
20	Traintiffs,	DISPOSITIVE MATTER REFERRED
21	v.	TO MAGISTRATE JUDGE
22	BARNES & NOBLE INC.,	Docket No: 89
23	Defendant.	Hearing:
24		Date: July 23, 2015 Time: 10:00 a.m.
		Place: Courtroom 4 - 17 <sup>th</sup> Floor
25		Judge: Hon. Vince Chhabria
26		J
26 27		J

#### PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

Plaintiffs Phoenix Digital Solutions LLC, Technology Properties Limited LLC and Patriot Scientific Corporation ("Plaintiffs") submit this sur-reply to address the second point raised in Barnes & Noble, Inc.'s ("B&N") reply brief. *See* Dkt. No. 102. This second point concerns a Federal Circuit opinion that issued after Plaintiffs filed their response brief, *SpeedTrack, Inc. v. Office Depot, Inc.*, --- F.3d ----, No. 2014-1475, 2015 U.S. App. LEXIS 11169.

#### **ARGUMENT**

Citing to *SpeedTrack*, B&N claims that "the Federal Circuit just decided a case that makes clear that *Kessler* cannot properly be restricted to its specific facts." B&N's Reply at 3. This assertion is simply incorrect and B&N greatly overstates the applicability of *SpeedTrack* to this case.

The portion of *SpeedTrack* cited by B&N concerns the Federal Circuit's analysis of whether the *Kessler* doctrine may be invoked by a customer. *See* 2015 U.S. App. LEXIS 11169, at \*21-26. As explained by the Federal Circuit, "[t]he question of whether a customer can invoke the *Kessler* doctrine has divided the circuits, and we have not specifically addressed it." *Id.* at \*21. After evaluating conflicting authority, the Federal Circuit concluded that "the rational underlying the *Kessler* doctrine supports permitting customers to assert it as a defense to infringement claims." *Id.* at \*24. Unlike this case, *SpeedTrack* concerned whether a *district court judgment* of non-infringement barred SpeedTrack's subsequent claims under the *Kessler* doctrine. *Id.* at \*2. *SpeedTrack* has nothing to do with whether an *ITC decision* precludes a district court's consideration of patent issues. *See id.* Nothing in *SpeedTrack* can be fairly read as an invitation for this Court to create new law by extending the *Kessler* doctrine to ITC decisions. *See id.* 

Judge Grewal analyzed far more applicable Federal Circuit authority<sup>1</sup> and correctly concluded that "ITC findings have no preclusive effect on district courts." *See* Report and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Report and Recommendation Denying Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings, Dkt. No. 87 at 4 (addressing *Bio-Technology Gen. Corp. v. Genentech, Inc.* 80 F.3d 1553 (Fed. Cir. 1996) and *Texas Instruments v. Cypress Semiconductor Corp.*, 90 F.3d 1558 (Fed. Cir. 1996)). Also, by way

### Case3:12-cv-03863-VC Document106-2 Filed07/08/15 Page5 of 7

1	Recommendation Denying Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings, Dkt. No. 87 at 7. Judge
2	Wilken analyzed the same Federal Circuit cases and reached the same conclusion. See Order
3	Denying Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings, Dkt. 100-3 at 12 ("[L]egal precedents regarding
4	the preclusionary effect of an ITC decision were established long before the parties' dispute
5	began [T]he Court is not bound by the ITC decision and will not employ the Kessler
6	doctrine."). Absolutely nothing in <i>SpeedTrack</i> calls into question the far more relevant Federal
7	Circuit precedent, much less Judge Grewal's and Judge Wilken's conclusion that ITC decisions
8	do not bind district courts on patent issues.
9	CONCLUSION
10	For the foregoing reasons and the reasons set forth in their Response, Plaintiffs respectfully
11	ask the Court to deny B&N's Motion for De Novo Determination and adopt Judge Grewal's
12	Report and Recommendation.
13	
14	Dated: July 8, 2015 Respectfully Submitted,
15	/s/ Brent N. Bumgardner NELSON BUMGARDNER, P.C.
16	Edward R. Nelson, III ( <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> ) ed@nelbum.com
17	Brent Nelson Bumgardner (Pro Hac Vice)
18	brent@nelbum.com Barry J. Bumgardner ( <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> )
19	barry@nelbum.com Thomas Christopher Cecil ( <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> )
20	tom@nelbum.com
21	Stacie Greskowiak McNulty ( <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> ) stacie@nelbum.com
22	John Murphy ( <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> ) murphy@nelbum.com
23	3131 West 7 <sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 300 Fort Worth, Texas 76107
24	[Tel.] (817) 377-9111
25	[Fax] (817) 377-3485
26	
27	of clarification, B&N correctly notes at that an editing error resulted in the erroneous attribution of a quotation from <i>Texas Instruments</i> to 28 U.S.C. § 1659(b). B&N's Reply at 4, n. 4. Counsel
28	apologizes for the error.

1	BANYS, P.C.
2	Christopher D. Banys (SBN 230038)
2	cdb@banyspc.com  Jennifer Lu Gilbert (SBN 255820)
3	jlg@banyspc.com
4	Christopher J. Judge (SBN 274418)
5	cjj@banyspc.com
,	Richard Cheng-hong Lin (SBN 209233)
6	rcl@banyspc.com 1032 Elwell Court, Suite 100
7	Palo Alto, California 94303
	[Tel.] (650) 308-8505
8	[Fax] (650) 353-2202
9	ALBRITTON LAW FIRM
10	Eric M. Albritton (Pro Hac Vice)
	ema@emafirm.com
11	P.O. Box 2649
12	Longview, Texas 75606 [Tel.] (903) 757-8449
10	[Fax] (903) 758-7397
13	
14	Attorneys for Plaintiff
15	PHOENIX DIGITAL SOLUTIONS LLC
	/s/ Charles T. Hoge (by permission)
16	KIRBY NOONAN LANCE & HOGE LLP
17	Charles T. Hoge (SBN 110696)
10	choge@knlh.com 350 Tenth Avenue, Suite 1300
18	San Diego, California 92101
19	[Tel.] (619) 231-8666
20	
	Attorneys for Plaintiff PATRIOT SCIENTIFIC CORPORATION
21	
22	/s/ William L. Bretschneider (by permission)
22	SILICON VALLEY LAW GROUP
23	William L. Bretschneider (SBN 144561) wlb@svlg.com
24	50 W. San Fernando Street, Suite 750
25	San Jose, California 95113
	[Tel.] (408) 573-5700
26	[Fax] (408) 573-5701
27	Attorneys for Plaintiff
28	TECHNOLOGY PROPERTIES LIMITED
20	LLC

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
2	I hereby certify that, on July 8, 2015, I caused the foregoing document to be served on
3	counsel of record via the Court's CM/ECF system.
4	counsel of record via the court's civilizer system.
5	
6	Dated: July 8, 2015  By: <u>/s/ Brent N. Bumgardner</u> Brent N. Bumgardner
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

25

26

27

	$\mathbf{d}$
1	Plaintiffs Phoenix Digital Solutions LLC, Technology Properties Limited LLC and Patriot
2	Scientific Corporation ("Plaintiffs") have filed a Motion for Administrative Relief for Leave to
3	File a Sur-Reply in Opposition to Defendant Barnes & Noble, Inc.'s Motion for De Novo
4	Determination of Dispositive Matter Referred to Magistrate Judge.
5	Having considered the arguments of the parties and the papers submitted, and good cause
6	having been shown, the Court hereby GRANTS Plaintiffs' Motion for Administrative Relief for
7	Leave to File a Sur-Reply in Opposition to Defendant Barnes & Noble, Inc.'s Motion for De Novo
8	Determination of Dispositive Matter Referred to Magistrate Judge.
9	
10	IT IS SO ORDERED.
11	
12	DATED: July, 2015
13	HONORABLE VINCE CHHABRIA
14	United States District Judge
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	il and the second of the secon