

CASE NOS. 2016-1306, -1307, -1309, -1310, -1311

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FEDERAL CIRCUIT

TECHNOLOGY PROPERTIES LIMITED LLC, PHOENIX DIGITAL
SOLUTIONS LLC, PATRIOT SCIENTIFIC CORPORATION

Plaintiffs-Appellants,

v.

HUAWEI TECHNOLOGIES CO., LTD., FUTUREWEI TECHNOLOGIES,
INC., HUAWEI DEVICE CO., LTD., HUAWEI DEVICE USA INC., HUAWEI
TECHNOLOGIES USA INC., ZTE CORPORATION, ZTE USA, INC.,
SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS
AMERICA, INC., LG ELECTRONICS, INC., LG ELECTRONICS U.S.A.,
INC., NINTENDO CO., LTD., NINTENDO OF AMERICA INC.,

Defendants-Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Northern District of
California in Case Nos. 3:12-cv-03865-VC, 3:12-cv-03876-VC, 3:12-cv-03877-
VC, 3:12-cv-03880-VC, and 3:12-cv-03881-VC, Judge Vince Chhabria.

**PLAINTIFF-APPELLANT PHOENIX DIGITAL SOLUTIONS LLC'S
UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR 14-DAY EXTENSION OF
TIME TO FILE REPLY BRIEF**

DATED: May 27, 2016

Barry J. Bumgardner
NELSON BUMGARDNER, P.C.
3131 West 7th Street
Suite 300
Fort Worth, Texas 76107
P. 817-377-9111
F. 817-377-3485

*Attorneys for Plaintiff-Appellant
Phoenix Digital Solutions LLC*

**PHOENIX DIGITAL SOLUTIONS LLC'S
CERTIFICATE OF INTEREST**

Counsel for Plaintiff-Appellant Phoenix Digital Solutions LLC, certifies the following:

1. The full name of every party represented by me is: Phoenix Digital Solutions LLC and Technology Properties Limited LLC.

2. The name of the real party in interest (if the party named in the caption is not the real party in interest) represented by me is: N/A.

3. The names of all parent corporations and publicly held companies that own 10 percent or more of the stock in Phoenix Digital Solutions LLC are: Technology Properties Limited LLC and Patriot Scientific Corporation.

4. The names of all law firms and the partners or associates that appeared for Phoenix Digital Solutions LLC in the trial court, or are expected to appear in this Court, are:

Nelson Bumgardner, P.C.

Barry J. Bumgardner
Edward R. Nelson, III
Brent Nelson Bumgardner
Thomas C. Cecil
Stacie Greskowiak McNulty (withdrawn)
John Murphy

Flachsbart & Greenspoon, LLC

Robert P. Greenspoon
Travis Campbell

Banys, P.C.

Christopher D. Banys
Jennifer Lu Gilbert
Christopher J. Judge
Richard Cheng-hong Lin

Albritton Law Firm

Eric M. Albritton

**MOTION FOR 14-DAY EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE
REPLY BRIEF**

Pursuant to Federal Circuit Rule (26)(b), Plaintiff-Appellant Phoenix Digital Solutions LLC (“PDS”) files this Unopposed Motion for 14-Day Extension of Time to File Reply Brief, and in support thereof, shows as follows:

1. Plaintiffs-Appellants filed their Notices of Appeal on December 7, 2015. The appeals were docketed on December 11, 2015, and consolidated on December 16, 2015. (ECF No. 2). Plaintiffs-Appellants’ principal brief was filed on March 10, 2016 (ECF No. 60), pursuant to an unopposed motion for a 30-day extension of time granted by the Court on February 4, 2016. Defendants-Appellees’ response brief was filed on May 23, 2016 (ECF No. 67), pursuant to an unopposed motion for a 30-day extension of time granted by the Court on March 30, 2016 (Text-Only Order). Plaintiffs-Appellants’ reply brief is currently due on June 9, 2016.

2. PDS respectfully requests a 14-day extension to file the reply brief on behalf of all Plaintiffs-Appellants, up to and including June 23, 2016. Plaintiffs-Appellants have good cause for requesting an extension, due to several conflicts with other matters being handled by principal counsel and by co-counsel.

3. As between the three Plaintiffs-Appellants, PDS (and its counsel) have primary responsibility for filing the reply brief (which will be submitted on behalf of all three of Plaintiffs-Appellants).

4. Counsel for PDS conferred with counsel for Defendants-Appellees regarding this motion, and said counsel indicated that they do not oppose the relief requested in this motion and will not be filing a response in opposition. Plaintiffs-Appellants Technology Properties Limited LLC and Patriot Scientific Corp. consent to the filing of this motion and agree with the relief being sought.

Conclusion

For the foregoing reasons, Plaintiff-Appellant PDS respectfully requests that the Court grant its Unopposed Motion for Extension of Time to File Reply Brief and extend the deadline for filing by 14 days, from June 9, 2016 to June 23, 2016.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Barry J. Bumgardner

Barry J. Bumgardner
NELSON BUMGARDNER, P.C.
3131 West 7th Street
Suite 300
Fort Worth, Texas 76107
P. 817-377-9111
F. 817-377-3485

*Attorneys for Plaintiff-Appellants
Phoenix Digital Solutions LLC and
Technology Properties Limited LLC*

/s/ Charles T. Hoge

Charles T. Hoge
Kirby Noonan Lance & Hoge LLP
350 Tenth Avenue
Suite 1300
San Diego, California 92101
P. 619-231-8666
F. 619-231-9593

*Attorneys for Plaintiff-Appellant
Patriot Scientific Corporation*

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on May 27, 2016, I electronically filed the foregoing using the Court's CM/ECF filing system. Counsel was served via CM/ECF which constitutes service, pursuant to Fed. R. App. P. 25(c)(2), Fed. Cir. R. 25(a), and the Court's Administrative Order Regarding Electronic Case Filing 6(A) (May 17, 2012).

/s/Barry J. Bumgardner
Barry J. Bumgardner

Attorney for Plaintiff-Appellant
Phoenix Digital Solutions LLC