UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Acer Inc. et al.	
D1 : (100/)	CASE NO. C-08-00877 JF
Plaintiff(s),	
v.	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
Technology Properties Limited et al.	ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS
Defendant(s).	
Counsel report that they have met and conf following stipulation pursuant to Civil L.R. 16-8 a	
The parties agree to participate in the following Al	OR process:
Court Processes: Non-binding Arbitration (ADR L.R	4)
Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE) (A	
✓ Mediation (ADR L.R. 6)	
(Note: Parties who believe that an early settlement appreciably more likely to meet their needs than a ADR phone conference and may not file this form. ADR Phone Conference. See Civil Local Rule 16-8	ny other form of ADR, must participate in an They must instead file a Notice of Need for
Private Process:	
Private ADR (please identify procedure)	ss and provider)
The parties agree to hold the ADR session by: the presumptive deadline (The dead referring the case to an ADR proces	• •
other requested deadline	
Dated: May 12, 2008	/s/ Harold H. Davis /s/
Dated. 112, 2000	Attorney for Plaintiff
Dated: May 12, 2008	/a/ John Cooner /a/
	/s/ John Cooper /s/ Attorney for Defendant
	₹

[PROPOSED] ORDER

Pursuant to the Stipulation above, the captioned matter is hereby referred to:

Non-binding Arbitration

Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE)

✓ Mediation Private ADR

Deadline for ADR session

✓ 90 days from the date of this order. other

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 5/23/08

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
Jeremy Fogel