

Exhibit C

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

ACER, INC., ACER AMERICA CORPORATION AND GATEWAY, INC.,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

TECHNOLOGY PROPERTIES LIMITED, PATRIOT SCIENTIFIC CORPORATION, AND ALLIACENSE LIMITED,

Defendant.

-----/

DEPOSITION OF CHARLES MOORE
NOVEMBER 3, 2010
VOLUME 1 OF 2, PAGE 1 - 137
PALO ALTO, CALIFORNIA

ATKINSON-BAKER, INC.
COURT REPORTERS
800-288-3376
www.depo.com

REPORTED BY: DEBRA L. ACEVEDO-RAMIREZ, RPR, CSR. 7692

FILE NO: A40A0CF

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

HTC CORPORATION AND HTC Case No. 5:08-cv-00882 JF/HRL
AMERICA, INC.

Plaintiff,

VS

TECHNOLOGY PROPERTIES LTD.,
PATRIOT SCIENTIFIC CORP.
AND ALLIACENSE, LIMITED,

Defendants.

-----/

BARCO, N.V., a Belgian Case No. 5:08-cv-05398 JF/HRL
corporation,

Plaintiff,

VS.

TECHNOLOGY PROPERTIES, LTD.,
PATRIOT SCIENTIFIC CORP.,
ALLIACENSE LTD.,

Defendants.

-----/

1 BY MR. WALKER: State your name for the record. 09:59:28

2 THE WITNESS: Charles H. Moore. 09:59:29

3 MR. PROCHNOW: Before we proceed further, a 09:59:34

4 few housekeeping details. Obviously, we are appearing 09:59:37

5 pursuant to subpoena. Mr. Moore is -- all three 09:59:38

6 plaintiffs, if I can call them in the three cases, have 09:59:43

7 served depositions subpoenas. We are appearing pursuant 09:59:45

8 to that. I believe in the second case we received a 09:59:50

9 document request in conjunction with the subpoena. 09:59:53

10 Mr. Moore has made a search of his records. We find 09:59:56

11 that they track the request previously made in the 09:59:58

12 number one case. I have arranged for production of a 10:00:02

13 hard copy of those productive documents. I would like 10:00:05

14 to produce them at this time, just so that everyone is 10:00:08

15 on the same page. 10:00:11

16 MR. WALKER: Thank you very much. 10:00:14

17 MR. PROCHNOW: One additional housekeeping 10:00:15

18 matter. Mr. Moore, in searching his records, discovered 10:00:16

19 that in or about 1990, he retained the Baker and 10:00:20

20 McKenzie firm, Susan Nickim (sic) of that firm, in 10:00:23

21 conjunction with the development and commercialization 10:00:27

22 of certain intellectual property. It appears that that 10:00:30

23 property may relate to the patents that are at issue 10:00:34

24 here. We have just discovered that fact. I don't want 10:00:38

25 that to keep us from going forward today. I would like 10:00:40

1 to take that up with counsel at the conclusion of this 10:00:43
2 matter. 10:00:47
3 It appears to me that as long as Mr. Moore is 10:00:47
4 not a party to this proceeding, that whatever conflict 10:00:50
5 is raised by that retention is not a problem here. But, 10:00:54
6 again, I reserve the right to bring that up should 10:00:58
7 Mr. Moore become a party to any of these cases. 10:01:01

[REDACTED]