1 2 3 4 5	JAMES C. OTTESON, State Bar No. 157782 jim@agilityiplaw.com MICHELLE BREIT, State Bar No. 133143 mbreit@agilityiplaw.com AGILITY IP LAW, LLP 149 Commonwealth Drive Menlo Park, CA 94025 Telephone: (650) 227-4800 Facsimile: (650) 318-3483			
6 7	Attorneys for Defendants TECHNOLOGY PROPERTIES LIMITED a ALLIACENSE LIMITED	nd		
8 9 10 11	CHARLES T. HOGE, State Bar No. 110696 choge@knlh.com KIRBY NOONAN LANCE & HOGE 35 Tenth Avenue San Diego, CA 92101 Telephone: (619) 231-8666 Facsimile: (619) 231-9593			
12 13	Attorneys for Defendant PATRIOT SCIENTIFIC CORPORATION			
14	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
15	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
16	SAN JOSE DIVISION			
17 18	ACER, INC., ACER AMERICA CORPORATION and GATEWAY, INC., Plaintiffs,	) Case No. 5:08-cv-00877 PSG ) DEFENDANTS' OMNIBUS ) OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFFS ACER,		
<ul><li>19</li><li>20</li><li>21</li><li>22</li><li>23</li></ul>	v.  TECHNOLOGY PROPERTIES LIMITED, PATRIOT SCIENTIFIC CORPORATION, and ALLIACENSE LIMITED,  Defendants.	INC., ACER AMERICA CORPORATION, AND GATEWAY, INC.'S MOTION TO COMPEL DEFENDANTS TO PRODUCE A 30(B)(6) WITNESS FOR CERTAIN TOPICS AND MOTION FOR ORDER SHORTENING TIME ON PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO COMPEL		
23 24		) Judge: Hon. Paul S. Grewal		
25		) Date: March 12, 2013 ) Time: 10:00 a.m. ) Courtroom: 4, 5th Floor		
26		) Complaint Filed: February 8, 2008 _) Trial Date: June 24, 2013		
27 28				

Between approximately 11 pm and Midnight on February 4, 2013, Acer filed the present motion seeking the following relief:

Accordingly, the Court should compel Defendants to comply with these requests without further delay on their part. Conversely, if Defendants do not produce a witness, the Court should require them to forego their lost profits and lost sales damages claims.

Mot. at 7 (emphasis added). The next morning at the deposition of Defendant TPL's CFO, counsel for TPL and Alliacense confirmed on the record that Defendants will not seek damages based on lost profits or lost sales. Thus, Acer's motion is moot.

Had Acer met and conferred in good faith—as required by F.R. Civ. P. 37 and Civil L.R. 37(a)(1)—rather than rushing to the Court, its motion would not have been necessary. Counsel for TPL did not immediately respond to Acer's emails regarding the 30(b)(6) deposition topics at issue because it was occupied with addressing Acer's discovery deficiencies (as described in Defendants' emergency motion to modify the case schedule) and with preparing for the deposition of Acer's technical 30(b)(6) witness, which took place during the day Acer filed its motion. Had Acer simply raised this issue during the many hours in which TPL's and Acer's counsel were together in person for the deposition of Acer's witness, the present motion could have been avoided.

Defendants proceeded with the deposition of Acer's technical witness even though Acer did not produce schematics related to the accused products in this case until the next day, February 5, 2013 because Acer refused to make its witness available at any other time or to agree to any modification of the case schedule.

OPP. TO ACER'S MTC 30(B)(6) DEPOSITION AND

## 

1	Because Acer's request for dep	osition testimony relating to lost profits damages is moot
2	its motion to compel (dkt. # 394) and n	notion to shorten time (dkt. # 396) should each be denied
3	in its entirety.	
4		
5	Dated: February 6, 2013	Respectfully submitted,
6		AGILITY IP LAW, LLP
7		
8		By: /s/ James C. Otteson James C. Otteson
9 10		Attorneys for Defendants TECHNOLOGY PROPERTIES LIMITED
11		and ALLIACENSE LIMITED
12		Kirby Noonan Lance & Hoge
13		
14		By: /s/ Charles T. Hoge Charles T. Hoge
15		
16		Attorneys for Defendant PATRIOT SCIENTIFIC CORPORATION
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

1 2 3 4 5	JAMES C. OTTESON, State Bar No. 15778 jim@agilityiplaw.com MICHELLE BREIT, State Bar No. 133143 mbreit@agilityiplaw.com AGILITY IP LAW, LLP 149 Commonwealth Drive Menlo Park, CA 94025 Telephone: (650) 227-4800 Facsimile: (650) 318-3483	1		
<ul><li>6</li><li>7</li></ul>	Attorneys for Defendants TECHNOLOGY PROPERTIES LIMITED a ALLIACENSE LIMITED	nd		
8 9 10 11	CHARLES T. HOGE, State Bar No. 110696 choge@knlh.com KIRBY NOONAN LANCE & HOGE 35 Tenth Avenue San Diego, CA 92101 Telephone: (619) 231-8666 Facsimile: (619) 231-9593			
12	Attorneys for Defendant PATRIOT SCIENTIFIC CORPORATION			
14	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
15	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
16	SAN JO	OSE DIVISION		
17   18   19   20   21   22   23   24   25   26   27	ACER, INC., ACER AMERICA CORPORATION and GATEWAY, INC.,  Plaintiffs,  v.  TECHNOLOGY PROPERTIES LIMITED, PATRIOT SCIENTIFIC CORPORATION, and ALLIACENSE LIMITED,  Defendants.	Case No. 5:08-cv-00877 PSG  [PROPOSED] ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO COMPEL DEFENDANTS TO PRODUCE A 30(B)(6) WITNESS FOR CERTAIN TOPICS AND PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR ORDER SHORTENING TIME ON MOTION TO COMPEL		

## 

1	The Court having considered Plaintiffs' Motion for an Order Shortening Time to Hear
2	Motion to Compel Defendants to Produce a 30(b)(6) Witness for Certain Topics and Defendants'
3	Opposition thereto,
4	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT Plaintiffs' Motion for Order Shortening Time is
5	DENIED.
6	In addition, the Court having considered the Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel Defendants to
7	Produce a 30(b)(6) Witness for Certain Topics and Defendants' Opposition thereto,
8	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT the Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel is DENIED as moot.
9	IT IS SO ORDERED.
10	
11	Dated: February, 2013
12	United States Magistrate Judge
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	