

JAMES C. OTTESON, State Bar No. 157781
jim@agilityiplaw.com
THOMAS T. CARMACK, State Bar No. 229324
tom@agilityiplaw.com
PHILIP W. MARSH, State Bar No. 27683
phil@agilityiplaw.com
DAVID L. LANSKY, State Bar No. 199952
dlansky@agilityiplaw.com
AGILITY IP LAW, LLP
149 Commonwealth Drive
Menlo Park, CA 94025
Telephone: (650) 227-4800
Facsimile: (650) 318-3483

Attorneys for Defendants
TECHNOLOGY PROPERTIES LIMITED and
ALLIACENSE LIMITED

CHARLES T. HOGE, State Bar No. 110696
choge@knlh.com
KIRBY NOONAN LANCE & HOGE
35 Tenth Avenue
San Diego, CA 92101
Telephone: (619) 231-8666
Facsimile: (619) 231-9593

Attorneys for Defendant
PATRIOT SCIENTIFIC CORPORATION

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

HTC CORPORATION and HTC
AMERICA, INC.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

TECHNOLOGY PROPERTIES LIMITED,
PATRIOT SCIENTIFIC CORPORATION
and ALLIACENSE LIMITED,

Defendants.

Case No. 5:08-cv-00882 PSG

(Related to Case No. 5:08-CV-00877 PSG)

**JOINT ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION
FOR LEAVE TO FILE ADDITIONAL
BRIEFING RE: DEFENDANTS'
AMENDED BILLS OF COSTS**

Judge: Hon. Paul S. Grewal

Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 7-11(a), Defendants Technology Properties Ltd., Alliacense Ltd., and Patriot Scientific Corporation (collectively, "Defendants") and Plaintiffs HTC Corporation and HTC America, Inc. (collectively, "HTC") respectfully seek leave to file additional briefing regarding Defendants' Amended Bills of Costs [Dkts. 669, 670].

On October 17, 2013, Defendants filed their Bills of Costs [Dkts. 663, 664] in accordance with Civ. L.R. 54-1. Defendants filed their Amended Bills of Costs [Dkts. 669, 670] on October 31, 2013. HTC timely filed their Objections to Defendants' Amended Bills of Costs [Dkts. 678, 679] on November 4, 2013, and a Corrected Objection (Dkt. 681 that supersedes Dkt. 678) on November 5, 2013.

Neither the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure nor the Civil Local Rules provide for the filing of responses or replies to objections to bills of cost. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 54; N.D. Cal. Civ. L. R. 54. However, the parties met and conferred and agreed to petition the Court for leave to file a response and a reply of no more than 12 pages per brief for each of the objections filed against Defendants' Amended Bills of Costs. Accordingly, the parties jointly seek permission from the Court for Defendants to file responses to HTC's objections, and for HTC to file replies, prior to the Clerk taking action on Defendants' Amended Bills of Costs. If the Court grants leave, the parties propose the following briefing schedule: Defendants shall file their responses to HTC's objections to Defendants' Amended Bills of Costs by November 26, 2013 or within 3 days of the Court's order, whichever is later. HTC shall file its replies to Defendants' responses by December 6, 2013 or within 10 days of Defendants' filings, whichever is later.

The present joint administrative motion is accompanied by a [Proposed] Order.

Dated: November 11, 2013

Respectfully submitted,

AGILITY IP LAW, LLP

By: /s/ David L. Lansky
David L. Lansky

1 Attorneys for Defendants
2 TECHNOLOGY PROPERTIES LIMITED
3 and ALLIACENSE LIMITED

4 KIRBY NOONAN LANCE & HOGE

5 By: /s/ Charles T. Hoge
6 Charles T. Hoge
7 Attorneys for Defendant
8 PATRIOT SCIENTIFIC CORPORATION

9 Dated: November 11, 2013

10 COOLEY LLP

11 By: /s/ Kyle D. Chen
12 Heidi L. Keefe, State Bar No. 178960
13 hkeefe@cooley.com
14 Ronald S. Lemieux, State Bar No. 120822
15 rlemieux@cooley.com
16 Mark R. Weinstein, State Bar No. 193043
17 mweinstein@cooley.com
18 Kyle D. Chen, State Bar No. 239501
19 kyle.chen@cooley.com
20 3000 El Camino Real
21 Five Palo Alto Square, 4th Floor
22 Palo Alto, California 94306
23 Phone: (650) 843-5000
24 Fax: (650) 857-0663

25 Attorneys for Plaintiffs
26 HTC CORPORATION AND HTC
27 AMERICA, INC.
28

ATTESTATION

Pursuant to General Order No. 45, I represent that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from each of the other signatories which shall serve in lieu of their signatures on this document.

Dated: November 11, 2013

By: /s/ David Lansky
David Lansky

HTC CORPORATION, HTC AMERICA,
INC.,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

TECHNOLOGY PROPERTIES
LIMITED, PATRIOT SCIENTIFIC
CORPORATION, and ALLIACENSE
LIMITED,

Defendants.

Judge: Honorable Paul S. Grewal

1 Now before the Court is the joint motion of Defendants Technology Properties Ltd.,
2 Alliacense Ltd., and Patriot Scientific Corporation (collectively, “Defendants”) and Plaintiffs
3 HTC Corporation and HTC America, Inc. (collectively, “HTC”) for administrative relief for
4 leave to file additional briefing relating to Defendants’ Amended Bills of Costs [Dkts. 669, 670].

5 Upon consideration of the motion, the Court finds there to be good cause for granting the
6 parties’ request for leave to file additional briefing regarding Defendants’ Amended Bills of
7 Costs, and the joint motion is hereby GRANTED.

8 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Defendants shall file their responses to HTC’s
9 objections to Defendants’ Amended Bills of Costs by November 26, 2013 or within 3 days of
10 this Order, whichever is later. HTC shall file its replies to Defendants’ responses by December
11 6, 2013 or within 10 days of Defendants’ filings, whichever is later. No briefs shall exceed 12
12 pages in length, not including captions or tables.

13 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

14 Dated: November ___, 2013

15 _____
16 United States District Court Judge

17
18 1190972
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28