

COOLEY LLP  
HEIDI L. KEEFE (178960) ([hkeefe@cooley.com](mailto:hkeefe@cooley.com))  
MARK R. WEINSTEIN (193043) ([mweinstein@cooley.com](mailto:mweinstein@cooley.com))  
RONALD S. LEMIEUX (120822) ([rlemieux@cooley.com](mailto:rlemieux@cooley.com))  
KYLE D. CHEN (239501) ([kyle.chen@cooley.com](mailto:kyle.chen@cooley.com))  
Five Palo Alto Square, 4th Floor  
3000 El Camino Real  
Palo Alto, California 94306-2155  
Telephone: (650) 843-5000  
Facsimile: (650) 857-0663

Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
HTC CORPORATION and  
HTC AMERICA, INC.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN JOSE DIVISION

HTC CORPORATION, HTC AMERICA,  
INC.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

TECHNOLOGY PROPERTIES  
LIMITED, PATRIOT SCIENTIFIC  
CORPORATION, and ALLIACENSE  
LIMITED,

Defendants.

Case No. 5:08-cv-00882 PSG

(Related to Case Nos. 5:08-cv-00877 PSG)

**NOTICE REGARDING INITIAL  
DETERMINATION BY THE  
INTERNATIONAL TRADE  
COMMISSION**

Complaint Filed: February 8, 2008  
Trial Date: September 23, 2013

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Evidence 201, plaintiffs HTC Corporation and HTC America, Inc. (collectively "HTC") respectfully request judicial notice of the "Notice Regarding Issuance of Final Initial Determination and Recommended Determination on Remedy and Bond," issued on September 6, 2013 by E. James Gildea, Administrative Law Judge, International Trade Commission ("Notice"). A copy of the Notice is attached hereto as **Exhibit A**. As set forth in the Notice (page v), Judge Gildea found no violation of Section 337 by HTC and no infringement of

1 one or more of claims 1, 6, 7, 9-11, and 13-16 of U.S. Patent No. 5,809,336. The memorandum  
2 containing Judge Gildea's detailed findings and reasoning is not yet available, but is expected to  
3 be accessible by early next week. HTC will provide a copy of that memorandum to the Court  
4 once it becomes available.

5  
6 Dated: September 6, 2013

COOLEY LLP

7 By: /s/

8 Heidi L. Keefe  
9 Mark R. Weinstein  
10 Ronald S. Lemieux  
11 Kyle D. Chen  
12 3000 El Camino Real  
13 Five Palo Alto Square, 4th Floor  
14 Palo Alto, California 94306  
15 Phone: (650) 843-5000  
16 Fax: (650) 857-0663

17 Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
18 HTC CORPORATION and HTC  
19 AMERICA, INC.  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**UNITED STATES INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C.**

**In the Matter of**

**CERTAIN WIRELESS CONSUMER  
ELECTRONICS DEVICES AND COMPONENTS  
THEREOF**


**Inv. No. 337-TA-853**

**NOTICE REGARDING ISSUANCE OF FINAL INITIAL DETERMINATION AND  
RECOMMENDED DETERMINATION ON REMEDY AND BOND**

(September 6, 2013)

On this date, the undersigned issued an Initial Determination on Violation of Section 337 and Recommended Determination on Remedy and Bond in the above-referenced Investigation. Attached are the opening pages from said filing, which are a matter of public record. A complete public version of the Initial Determination on Violation of Section 337 and Recommended Determination on Remedy and Bond will be issued when all the parties have submitted, and the undersigned has had an opportunity to review, the proposed redactions.

**SO ORDERED.**

  
E. James Gildea  
Administrative Law Judge

**ATTACHMENT A**

**UNITED STATES INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION**

**Washington, D.C.**

**In the Matter of**

**CERTAIN WIRELESS CONSUMER  
ELECTRONICS DEVICES AND COMPONENTS  
THEREOF**

**Inv. No. 337-TA-853**

**INITIAL DETERMINATION ON VIOLATION OF SECTION 337 AND  
RECOMMENDED DETERMINATION ON REMEDY AND BOND**

Administrative Law Judge E. James Gildea

(September 6, 2013)

**Appearances:**

*For Complainants Technology Properties Limited LLC and Phoenix Digital Solutions LLC:*

James C. Otteson, Esq.; Philip W. Marsh, Esq.; Thomas T. Carmack, Esq.; and Erica Sowers, Esq. of Agility IP Law, LLP of Menlo Park, CA.

*For Complainant Patriot Scientific Corporation:*

Charles T. Hoge, Esq. of Kirby Noonan Lance & Hoge, LLP of San Diego, CA.

*For Respondents Acer, Inc.; Acer America Corporation; Amazon.com, Inc.; and Novatel Wireless, Inc.:*

Michael J. Bettinger, Esq.; Timothy P. Walker, Esq.; Harold H. Davis, Jr., Esq.; and Curt Holbreich, Esq. of K&L Gates LLP of San Francisco, CA.

Eric C. Rusnak, Esq. of K&L Gates LLP of Washington, D.C.

Michael Abernathy, Esq. of K&L Gates LLP of Chicago, IL.

For Respondent Barnes & Noble, Inc.:

Paul F. Brinkman, Esq.; David Eiseman, Esq.; Pamela Van Dort, Esq.; and Michael James O'Connor, Esq. of Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan, LLP of Washington, D.C.

For Respondents Kyocera Corporation and Kyocera Communications, Inc.:

Andrew Woodmansee, Esq.; Stephen D. Keane, Esq.; and Christian G. Andreu-von Euw, Esq. of Morrison & Foerster LLP of San Diego, CA.

G. Brian Busey, Esq. and Tihua Huang, Esq. of Morrison & Foerster LLP of Washington, D.C.

For Respondents Huawei Technologies Co., Ltd; Huawei Device Co., Ltd; Huawei Device USA Inc.; and Futurewei Technologies, Inc.:

Timothy C. Bickham, Esq.; Charles Schill, Esq.; Alice A. Kipel, Esq.; and Hue Shin, Esq. of Steptoe & Johnson LLP of Washington, D.C.

For Respondents Garmin Ltd., Garmin International, Inc. and Garmin USA, Inc.:

Louis S. Mastriani, Esq.; Sarah E. Hamblin, Esq.; Daniel F. Smith, Esq.; and Emi Ito Ortiz, Esq. of Adduci Mastriani & Schaumberg LLP of Washington, D.C.

Adam P. Seitz, Esq.; Paul Hart, Esq.; and Jason Mudd, Esq. of Erise IP, P.A. of Overland Park, KS.

For Respondents HTC Corporation; HTC America, Inc.; Nintendo Co., Ltd.; and Nintendo of America Inc.:

Stephen R. Smith, Esq.; Phillip Morton, Esq.; and Laura Cunningham, Esq. of Cooley LLP of Reston, VA.

Kyle Chen, Esq. and Matthew Brigham, Esq. of Cooley LLP of Palo Alto, CA.

For Respondents LG Electronics, Inc. and LG Electronics USA, Inc.:

Michael J. McKeon, Esq.; Christian A. Chu, Esq.; Scott A. Elengold, Esq.; and Richard A. Sterba, Esq. of Fish & Richardson P.C. of Washington, D.C.

For Respondents ZTE Corporation and ZTE (USA), Inc.:

Robert Mallin, Esq.; William H. Frankel, Esq.; Laura Beth Miller, Esq.; and Hersh Mehta, Esq. of Brinks Hofer Gilson & Lione of Chicago, IL.

Jay H. Reiziss, Esq. and Michelle A. Miller, Esq. of Brinks Hofer Gilson & Lione of Washington, D.C.

For Respondents Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd. and Samsung Electronics America, Inc.:

Mark Fowler, Esq.; Aaron Wainscoat, Esq.; and Erik R. Fuehrer, Esq. of DLA Piper LLP of East Palo Alto, CA.

James M. Heintz, Esq. of DLA Piper LLP of Reston, VA.

Robert C. Williams, Esq. of DLA Piper LLP of San Diego, CA.

For the Commission Investigative Staff:

Anne Goalwin, Esq., Acting Director; David O. Lloyd, Esq., Supervisory Attorney; and R. Whitney Winston, Esq., Investigative Attorney, of the Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission of Washington, D.C.

Pursuant to the Notice of Investigation, 77 Fed. Reg. 51572-73 (August 24, 2012), this is the Initial Determination of the Investigation in the Matter of Certain Wireless Consumer Electronics Devices and Components Thereof, United States International Trade Commission Investigation No. 337-TA-853. *See* 19 C.F.R. § 210.42(a).

With respect to Respondents Acer, Inc. and Acer America Corporation, it is held that no violation of Section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. § 1337), has occurred in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation, of certain wireless consumer electronics devices and components thereof, by reason of infringement of one or more of claims 1, 6, 7, 9-11, and 13-16 of United States Patent No. 5,809,336.

With respect to Respondent Amazon.com, Inc., it is held that no violation of Section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. § 1337), has occurred in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation, of certain wireless consumer electronics devices and components thereof, by reason of infringement of one or more of claims 1, 6, 7, 9-11, and 13-16 of United States Patent No. 5,809,336.

With respect to Respondent Barnes & Noble, Inc., it is held that no violation of Section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. § 1337), has occurred in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation, of certain wireless consumer electronics devices and components thereof, by reason of infringement of one or more of claims 1, 6, 7, 9-11, and 13-16 of United States Patent No. 5,809,336.



With respect to Respondents Garmin Ltd.; Garmin International, Inc.; and Garmin USA, Inc., it is held that no violation of Section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. § 1337), has occurred in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation, of certain wireless consumer electronics devices and components thereof, by reason of infringement of one or more of claims 1, 6, 7, 9-11, and 13-16 of United States Patent No. 5,809,336.

With respect to Respondents HTC Corporation and HTC America, Inc., it is held that no violation of Section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. § 1337), has occurred in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation, of certain wireless consumer electronics devices and components thereof, by reason of infringement of one or more of claims 1, 6, 7, 9-11, and 13-16 of United States Patent No. 5,809,336.

With respect to Respondents Huawei Technologies Co., Ltd; Huawei Device Co., Ltd; Huawei Device USA Inc.; and Futurewei Technologies, Inc., it is held that no violation of Section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. § 1337), has occurred in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation, of certain wireless consumer electronics devices and components thereof, by reason of infringement of one or more of claims 1, 6, 7, 9-11, and 13-16 of United States Patent No. 5,809,336.

With respect to Respondents Kyocera Corporation and Kyocera Communications, Inc., it is held that no violation of Section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. § 1337), has occurred in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation, of certain wireless consumer electronics devices and

components thereof, by reason of infringement of one or more of claims 1, 6, 7, 9-11, and 13-16 of United States Patent No. 5,809,336.

With respect to Respondents LG Electronics, Inc. and LG Electronics USA, Inc., it is held that no violation of Section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. § 1337), has occurred in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation, of certain wireless consumer electronics devices and components thereof, by reason of infringement of one or more of claims 1, 6, 7, 9-11, and 13-16 of United States Patent No. 5,809,336.

With respect to Respondents Nintendo Co., Ltd. and Nintendo of America Inc., it is held that no violation of Section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. § 1337), has occurred in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation, of certain wireless consumer electronics devices and components thereof, by reason of infringement of one or more of claims 1, 6, 7, 9-11, and 13-16 of United States Patent No. 5,809,336.

With respect to Respondent Novatel Wireless, Inc., it is held that no violation of Section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. § 1337), has occurred in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation, of certain wireless consumer electronics devices and components thereof, by reason of infringement of one or more of claims 1, 6, 7, 9-11, and 13-16 of United States Patent No. 5,809,336.

With respect to Respondents Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd. and Samsung Electronics America, Inc., it is held that no violation of Section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. § 1337), has occurred in the importation into the United States, the sale for

importation, or the sale within the United States after importation, of certain wireless consumer electronics devices and components thereof, by reason of infringement of one or more of claims 1, 6, 7, 9-11, and 13-16 of United States Patent No. 5,809,336.

With respect to Respondents ZTE Corporation and ZTE (USA), Inc., it is held that no violation of Section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. § 1337), has occurred in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation, of certain wireless consumer electronics devices and components thereof, by reason of infringement of one or more of claims 1, 6, 7, 9-11, and 13-16 of United States Patent No. 5,809,336.

It is further held that a domestic industry exists that practices U.S. Patent No. 5,809,336.

**CERTAIN WIRELESS CONSUMER ELECTRONICS  
DEVICES AND COMPONENTS THEREOF**

**Inv. No. 337-TA-853**

**PUBLIC CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I, Lisa R. Barton, hereby certify that the attached **ORDER** has been served by hand upon, the Commission Investigative Attorney, Whitney Winston, Esq., and the following parties as indicated on \_\_\_\_\_, 2013.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Lisa R. Barton, Acting Secretary  
U.S. International Trade Commission  
500 E Street, SW, Room 112  
Washington, DC 20436

**On Behalf of Complainants Technology Properties Limited  
LLC and Phoenix Digital Solutions LLC:**

James C. Otteson, Esq.  
**AGILITY IP LAW, LLP**  
149 Commonwealth Drive  
Menlo Park, CA 94025

( ) Via Hand Delivery  
( ) Via Express Delivery  
( ) Via First Class Mail  
( ) Other: \_\_\_\_\_

**On Behalf of Complainant Patriot Scientific Corporation :**

Charles T. Hoge, Esq.  
**KIRBY NOONAN LANCE & HOGE, LLP**  
350 Tenth Avenue, Suite 1300  
San Diego, CA 92101

( ) Via Hand Delivery  
( ) Via Express Delivery  
( ) Via First Class Mail  
( ) Other: \_\_\_\_\_

**On Behalf of Respondents Acer Inc., Acer America  
Corporation, Amazon.com Inc. and Novatel Wireless, Inc.:**

Eric C. Rusnak, Esq.  
**K&L GATES LLP**  
1601 K Street, NW  
Washington, DC 20006-1600

( ) Via Hand Delivery  
( ) Via Express Delivery  
( ) Via First Class Mail  
( ) Other: \_\_\_\_\_

**CERTAIN WIRELESS CONSUMER ELECTRONICS  
DEVICES AND COMPONENTS THEREOF**

**Inv. No. 337-TA-853**

**On Behalf of Respondents Garmin Ltd., Garmin International,  
Inc. and Garmin USA, Inc. :**

Louis S. Mastriani, Esq.  
**ADDUCI, MASTRIANI & SCHAUMBERG LLP**  
1133 Connecticut Avenue, NW, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Washington, DC 20036

☐ Via Hand Delivery  
☐ Via Express Delivery  
☐ Via First Class Mail  
☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

**On Behalf of Respondent Barnes & Noble, Inc.:**

Paul F. Brinkman, Esq.  
**QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN LLP**  
1299 Pennsylvania Avenue NW, Suite 825  
Washington, DC 20004

☐ Via Hand Delivery  
☐ Via Express Delivery  
☐ Via First Class Mail  
☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

**On Behalf of Respondent Huawei Technologies Co., Ltd.,  
Huawei Device Co., Ltd., Huawei Device USA Inc., and  
Futurewei Technologies, Inc.:**

Timothy C. Bickham, Esq.  
**STEPTOE & JOHNSON LLP**  
1330 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.  
Washington, DC 20036

☐ Via Hand Delivery  
☐ Via Express Delivery  
☐ Via First Class Mail  
☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

**On Behalf of ZTE Corporation and ZTE (USA) Inc.:**

Jay H. Reiziss, Esq.  
**BRINKS, HOFER, GILSON & LIONE**  
1775 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW  
Suite 900  
Washington, DC 20006-2219

☐ Via Hand Delivery  
☐ Via Express Delivery  
☐ Via First Class Mail  
☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

**On Behalf of Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd. and Samsung  
Electronics America, Inc.:**

Aaron Wainscoat, Esq.  
**DLA PIPER LLP (US)**  
2000 University Avenue  
East Palo Alto, California 94303

☐ Via Hand Delivery  
☐ Via Express Delivery  
☐ Via First Class Mail  
☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

**CERTAIN WIRELESS CONSUMER ELECTRONICS  
DEVICES AND COMPONENTS THEREOF**

**Inv. No. 337-TA-853**

**On Behalf of HTC Corporation; HTC America; Nintendo Co.,  
Ltd. and Nintendo of America, Inc. :**

Stephen R. Smith, Esq.  
**COOLEY LLP**  
11951 Freedom Drive  
Reston, Virginia 20190

( ) Via Hand Delivery  
( ) Via Express Delivery  
( ) Via First Class Mail  
( ) Other: \_\_\_\_\_

**On Behalf of Kyocera Corporation and Kyocera  
Communications, Inc.:**

M. Andrew Woodmansee  
**MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP**  
12531 High Bluff Drive  
San Diego, CA 92130

( ) Via Hand Delivery  
( ) Via Express Delivery  
( ) Via First Class Mail  
( ) Other: \_\_\_\_\_

**On Behalf of LG Electronics, Inc. and LG Electronics U.S.A.,  
Inc. :**

Scott Engold, Esq.  
**FISH & RICHARDSON PC**  
1425 K Street, N.W., Suite 1100  
Washington, D.C. 20005

( ) Via Hand Delivery  
( ) Via Express Delivery  
( ) Via First Class Mail  
( ) Other: \_\_\_\_\_

**Public:**

Lori Hofer  
**LEXIS-NEXIS**  
9443 Springboro Pike  
Miamisburg, OH 45342

( ) Via Hand Delivery  
( ) Via Express Delivery  
( ) Via First Class Mail  
( ) Other: \_\_\_\_\_

Kenneth Clair  
**THOMSON WEST**  
1100 13<sup>th</sup> Street, NW, Suite 200  
Washington, DC 20005

( ) Via Hand Delivery  
( ) Via Express Delivery  
( ) Via First Class Mail  
( ) Other: \_\_\_\_\_