## 

1	JAMES C. OTTESON, State Bar No. 157781		
2	jim@agilityiplaw.com THOMAS T. CARMACK, State Bar No. 229324		
3	tom@agilityiplaw.com PHILIP W. MARSH, State Bar No. 276383		
4	phil@agilityiplaw.com AGILITY IP LAW, LLP		
5	149 Commonwealth Drive Menlo Park, CA 94025		
6	Telephone: (650) 227-4800 Facsimile: (650) 318-3483		
7	Attorneys for Defendants		
8	TECHNOLOGY PROPERTIES LIMITED an ALLIACENSE LIMITED	d	
9	CHARLES T. HOGE, State Bar No. 110696		
10	choge@knlh.com Kirby Noonan Lance & Hoge		
11	35 Tenth Avenue San Diego, CA 92101		
12	Telephone: (619) 231-8666 Facsimile: (619) 231-9593		
13	Attorneys for Defendant		
14	PATRIOT SCIENTIFIC CORPORATION		
15			
16	SAN JOSE DIVISION		
17	HTC CORPORATION and HTC	Case No. 5:08-cv-00882 PSG	
18	AMERICA, INC.,	[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING	
19	Plaintiffs,	EMERGENCY MOTION FOR LIMITING INSTRUCTION	
20	V.	Date: September 23, 2013	
21	TECHNOLOGY PROPERTIES LIMITED, PATRIOT SCIENTIFIC CORPORATION	7 Time: 9:00 a.m. Place: Courtroom 5, 4th Floor	
22	and ALLIACENSE LIMITED,	Judge: Hon. Paul S. Grewa;	
23	Defendants.		
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

## 

- 1	d	
1	Having considered Defendants' Emergency Motion for Limiting Instruction, the record in	
2	this case and all related facts and circumstances, and good cause appearing therefor, IT IS	
3	HEREBY ORDERED THAT:	
4	If and when HTC offers evidence of prior disputes among the defendants, the Court will	
5	read the following limiting instruction to the jury:	
6	is relevant to the price of the license agreement that would have been negotiated between TPL and HTC. Should you find the evidence relevant to that hypothetical negotiation, you may consider this evidence for that purpose only. The fact that I have permitted HTC to present this evidence should not should not be taken as an indication that I have a view regarding whether or not it bears upon that hypothetical negotiation and it may not be used	
7		
8		
10	by you in your determination of any other fact in this case.	
11	I want to specifically caution you that the existence of any prior disputes between the defendants does not indicate wrongdoing by any of them or imply that there is any dissention among the defendants today. The prior disputes should in no way color	
12		
13	your perception of any party to this case.	
14	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
15		
16	Dated: September 23 , 2013	
17	Parl S. Alend	
18	Hon. Paul S. Grewal United States Magistrate Judge	
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		