1	TRACY HOPE DAVIS		
2	United States Trustee for Region 17		
3	Office of the United States Trustee U. S. Department of Justice		
4	280 S. First Street, Suite 268 San Jose, CA 95113-0002		
5	E-mail: john.wesolowski@usdoj.gov		
6	Telephone: (408) 535-5525 Fax: (408) 535-5532		
7	By: EDWINA E. DOWELL (SBN 149059) Assistant U.S. Trustee		
8	JOHN WESOLOWSKI (SBN 127007)		
9	Trial Attorney		
10	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
11		G	
12	In re:	Case No: 13-51589 SLJ	
13	TECHNOLOGY PROPERTIES LIMITED, LLC,	Chapter 11	
14			
15	Debtor.	Date: October 2, 2014 Time: 3:00 p.m.	
16		Place: Courtroom 3099	
17			
18	U.S. TRUSTEE'S OBJECTION TO DISCLOSURE STATEMENT RE: MOORE MONETIZATION PLAN OF REORGANIZATION		
19			
20	The United States Trustee for Region 17, Tracy Hope Davis (the "UST"), hereby files		
21			
22	this Objection to the Disclosure Statement re: Moore Monetization Plan of Reorganization ("DS"		
23	or "Disclosure Statement") filed herein by Creditor Charles H. Moore ("Mr. Moore").		
24	The UST objects on the grounds that the Disclosure Statement does not contain adequate		
25	information as required under Bankruptcy Code sections 1125(a) and (b). The UST's specific		
26	objections are as follows:		
27	J		
28	UST's Objection to Disclosure Statement Re: Moore Monetization Plan Case: 13-51589 Doc# 554 Filed: 09/	1 /25/14 Entered: 09/25/14 17:11:35 Page 1 of 4	

- 1. The Disclosure Statement is 99 pages long and describes a complicated and contentious history of the Debtor, its assets and liabilities, and its creditors. One area that needs clarification, however, is the current status of the case. At page 4, Mr. Moore references the fact that no joint plan or disclosure statement by the Debtor and the Committee have ever been presented to the Court. But after Mr. Moore filed his plan, a joint plan and a joint disclosure statement have been filed, and a hearing on the disclosure statement is scheduled for October 14, 2014.
- 2. DS, pp. 20-23 Mr. Moore states that Classes 1-5 are not impaired under the plan. However, Class 1 (priority claims) do not get paid until six months after the effective date this is an impairment. Classes 2 and 3 (CCC and Venkidu) may also be impaired, if they are not being paid in accordance with their contracts with the Debtor. With respect to Class 4 (Leckrone), that claim is disputed but to the extent it is eventually allowed in any amount, it appears that the treatment impairs the claim.
- 3. DS, pp. 26-28 it appears that only the filed claims are included in the list of claimants in Class 6 general unsecured claims. Schedule F lists a number of claims that are scheduled as non-contingent, undisputed and liquidated, including, e.g., Agility IP Law, Andrew Fulop, and Henneman & Associates. These claims and other similarly scheduled claims are deemed allowed pursuant to Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 3003(b)(1), and should be included in the list of creditors.
- 4. The Plan contemplates the election of a chapter 11 trustee to essentially serve as the plan administrator. *See DS, pp. 30 et seq.* However, this raises some questions. First, if a chapter 11 trustee is selected prior to confirmation, that trustee is required to be an independent trustee, not an agent for Mr. Moore or controlled by Mr. Moore's plan. A chapter 11 trustee must exercise his or her own business judgment, not the

judgment of a single creditor. The plan obligates a chapter 11 trustee to, among other things, dismiss the TPL/Moore litigation (DS, 32:17), object to and file an adversary proceeding re the Leckrone Class 4 claim (DS, 23:1-5) and assume a seat on the PDS Operating Committee (DS, 32:25). A chapter 11 trustee is also told how to manage the various patent portfolios (DS, pp. 34-36). A chapter 11 trustee could easily disagree with many of the provisions in the plan, as they restrict his or her fiduciary duty to act independently and in the interest of all creditors – indeed, if the trustee deems it appropriate, he or she could file a motion to convert the case to chapter 7, or file a new plan per section 1106(a)(5). In addition, a chapter 11 trustee is restricted to an annual budget, and his or her salary is limited (DS, 30:25-28). The overall effect of the Moore plan is to strip any independence of a chapter 11 trustee. Under the circumstances, the use of a "Liquidating Agent" or "Plan Administrator" appointed pursuant to the plan, rather than a chapter 11 trustee appointed by the Court, would appear to be more appropriate.

- 5. In addition, the Bankruptcy Code only permits the appointment of a Chapter 11 trustee prior to confirmation of a plan. *See Bankruptcy Code §1104(a)*.
- 6. The Disclosure Statement contains very little in the way of a feasibility analysis. At page 69, Mr. Moore refers to certain *pro formas* attached as Appendices 1 and 2, and refers to certain assumptions upon which the *pro formas* are based. But these assumptions are not stated anywhere in the Disclosure Statement, and Mr. Moore admits that the assumptions and estimates are "inherently uncertain" and "not necessarily indicative of the future financial condition or results of operations of the Reorganized Company" (DS, 69:22-25). Much more information is needed for

creditors to make a reasonable and informed judgment about the feasibility of the 1 Moore plan. 2 For the foregoing reasons, the UST asserts that the Disclosure Statement should not be 3 4 approved. 5 6 Dated: San Jose, California Respectfully submitted, 7 September 25, 2014 TRACY HOPE DAVIS 8 UNITED STATES TRUSTEE 9 By: /s/John S. Wesolowski Trial Attorney 10 John S. Wesolowski Office of the United States Trustee 11 U. S. Department of Justice 280 S. First Street, Suite 268 12 San Jose, CA 95113-0002 13 E-mail: john.wesolowski@usdoj.gov Telephone: (408) 535-5525 ext. 231 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

UST's Objection to Disclosure Statement Re: Moore Monetization Plan

Case: 13-51589 Doc# 554 Filed: 09/25/14 Entered: 09/25/14 17:11:35 Page 4 of

In re: Technology Properties Limited LLC Case no: 13-51589 SLJ 2 CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE VIA 1st CLASS MAIL OR ECF NOTIFICATION 3 I, the undersigned, state that I am employed in the City of San Jose, County of Santa 4 Clara, State of California, in the Office of the United States Trustee, at whose direction the service was made; that I am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to the within action; that my 5 business address is 280 South First Street, Suite 268, San Jose, California 95113, that on the date set out below, I served a copy of the attached: 6 U.S. TRUSTEE'S OBJECTION TO DISCLOSURE STATEMENT RE: MOORE 7 MONETIZATION PLAN OF REORGANIZATION 8 9 upon each party listed below, by placing such a copy, enclosed in a sealed envelope with prepaid postage thereon, in the United States mail at San Jose, California to: 10 Technology Properties Limited LLC 11 Attention: Daniel E. Leckrone 20883 Stevens Creek Blvd., Suite 100 12 Cupertino, CA 95014 13 Adleson, Hess And Kelley, APC Jeffrey R. Bragalone 14 Bragalone Conroy PC 577 Salmar Avenue, 2nd Floor Campbell, CA 95008 2200 Ross Ave. #4500W 15 Chase Tower Dallas, TX 75201 16 Brian E. Farnan Larry E. Henneman 17 Farnan LLP Henneman & Associates, PLC 919 N Market St. 12th FI 70 N Main St. 18 Wilmington, DE 19801 Three Rivers, MI 49093 19 Daniel E. Leckrone Sallie Kim GCA Law Partners, LLP 20883 Stevens Creek Blvd., Suite 100 20 1891 Landings Drive Cupertino, CA 95014 Mountain View, CA 94043 2.1 Ropers Majeski Kohn & Bentley Stevens Love 22 50 West San Fernando Street P.O. Box 3427 Longview, TX 75606-3427 **Suite 1400** 23 San Jose, CA 95113-2429 TR Capital Management, LLC Anthony G. Simon 24 Simon Law Firm, P.C. PO Box 633 800 Market Street, Suite 1700 Woodmere, NY 11598 25 St. Louis, MI 63101 26 Jim Otteson **Brett Bissett**

Case: 13-51589 Doc# 554-1 Filed: 09/25/14 Entered: 09/25/14 17:11:35 Page 1

Agility IP Law

Menlo Park, CA 94025

149 Commonwealth Drive, Suite 1033

27

28

K and L Gates LLP

Los Angeles, CA 90067

10100 Santa Monica Blvd. 7th Fl

1	by ECF notification identified as addressed to
2	
3	Heinz Binder heinz@bindermalter.comPeter C. Califano pcalifano@cwclaw.com
	•Gregory J. Charles greg@gregcharleslaw.com
4	Harold H. Davis harold.davis@klgates.com, cathy.williams@klgates.com
5	Robert L. Eisenbach reisenbach@cooley.comStefanie A. Elkins selkins@friedmanspring.com
5	•Javed I. Ellahie Ellfarnotice@gmail.com
6	•G. Larry Engel lengel@mofo.com, vnovak@mofo.com,jkline@mofo.com
	•Robert A. Franklin Franklin.Robert@Dorsey.com, bobf_94303@yahoo.com
7	Robert A. Franklin Franklin.Robert@Dorsey.com, bobf_94303@yahoo.comEllen A. Friedman efriedman@friedmanspring.com
8	•Robert G. Harris rob@bindermalter.com
	Christopher H. Hart chart@schnader.com, CAlas@Schnader.com
9	•Thomas T. Hwang Hwang.Thomas@Dorsey.com
10	Thomas T. Hwang Hwang.Thomas@Dorsey.comJoel A. Kane joel.kane@sedgwicklaw.com, mark.mitobe@sedgwicklaw.com
10	•Gary M. Kaplan gkaplan@fbm.com, calendar@fbm.com
11	•Gregg S. Kleiner gkleiner@mckennalong.com, wowen@mckennalong.com
	•Adam A. Lewis alewis@mofo.com
12	William Thomas Lewis wtl@roblewlaw.com, kimwrenn@msn.comC. Luckey McDowell luckey.mcdowell@bakerbotts.com
13	•Randy Michelson randy.michelson@michelsonlawgroup.com
	John Walshe Murray Murray. John @ Dorsey.com, johnwalshemurray @ hotmail.com
14	•Ryan Penhallegon ryan@bindermalter.com
15	Kenneth H. Prochnow kprochnow@chilesprolaw.com, terisa@chilesprolaw.comDavid B. Rao David@bindermalter.com
10	David B. Rao David@bindermalter.com
16	•Roya Shakoori roya@bindermalter.com
1 7	Wendy W. Smith Wendy@bindermalter.com Wendy@bindermalter.com
17	Lillian G. Stenfeldt lillian.stenfeldt@sdma.comJon Swenson jon.swenson@bakerbotts.com, luckey.mcdowell@bakerbotts.com
18	John Station S
19	I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.
20	Executed at San Jose, California, on September 25, 2014.
21	
21	By: /s/ Patricia M. Vargas
22	Patricia M. Vargas
23	Paralegal Specialist
23	
24	
25	
∠ ⊃	
26	
27	
- /	
28	

Case: 13-51589 Doc# 554-1 Filed: 09/25/14 Entered: 09/25/14 17:11:35 Page 2 of 2