1 2	JOHN WALSHE MURRAY (074823) ROBERT A. FRANKLIN (091653) THOMAS T. HWANG (218678) DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP			
3	305 Lytton Avenue			
4	Palo Alto, CA 94301 Telephone: (650) 857-1717			
5	Facsimile: (650) 857-1288 Email: murray.john@dorsey.com			
6	Email: franklin.robert@dorsey.com Email: hwang.thomas@dorsey.com			
7	Attorneys for Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors			
8	Committee of Chicagolica Civations			
9	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT			
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
11	SAN JOSE DIVISION			
12	In re:			
13	TECHNOLOGY PROPERTIES LIMITED LLC,) fka TECHNOLOGY PROPERTIES LIMITED	Case No. 13-51589-SLJ-11		
14	INC., A CALIFORNIA CORPORATION,	Chapter 11		
15	fka Technology Properties Limited, A California Corporation,	Date: January 23, 2014		
16	Debtor.	Time: 10:00 a.m. United States Bankruptcy Court		
17		280 S. First Street, Room 3099 San Jose, CA 95113		
18		Judge: Honorable Stephen L. Johnson		
19	OMNIBUS RESPONSE TO OBJECTIONS	S TO DECLARATIONS IN SUPPORT OF		
20	MOTION OF CREDITORS' COMMITTEE FOR ORDERS: (1) DIRECTING THE APPOINTMENT OF A CHAPTER 11 TRUSTEE; AND			
21	(2) DIRECTING THE DEBTOR AND DANIEL E. L.	ECKRONE TO APPEAR AND SHOW CAUSE WHY		
22	THEY SHOULD NOT BE HELD IN CONTEMPT OF C	COURT FOR VIOLATION OF THIS COURT'S ORDER		
23	The Official Committee of Unsecured Cred	ditors herein (the "Committee") in the bankruptcy		
24	case of Technology Properties Limited, LLC's (the "Debtor" or "TPL") hereby responds to the			
25	Debtor's evidentiary objections to the declarations filed in support of the Committee's MOTION OF			
26	CREDITORS' COMMITTEE FOR ORDERS (1) DIRECTING THE APPOINTMENT OF A CHAPTER 11			
27	TRUSTEE; AND (2) DIRECTING THE DEBTOR AND DANIEL E. LECKRONE TO APPEAR AND SHOW			
28	CAUSE WHY THEY SHOULD NOT BE HELD IN CONTEMPT FOR VIOLATION OF THIS COURT'S ORDER			
C	h:\client matters\- i&r\tech properties\pi\motion re trustee & contempt\evid obj\resp	OMNIBUS RESPONSE TO OBJECTIONS TO DECLARATIONS IN SUPPORT OF MOTION OF CREDITORS' COMMITTEE FOR ORDERS: (1) DIRECTING THE APPOINTMENT OF A CHAPTER ILTRUSTEE Entered: 01/22/14 10:59:25 Page 1 of		

[Docket No. 313]	(the "Motion")
------------------	----------------

T.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

1. The Committee filed the Motion on December 18, 2013, along with the supporting declarations of John Walshe Murray, Gloria Felcyn and Carlton Johnson (collectively, the "Declarations"), to which the Debtor has filed three evidentiary objections [Docket Nos. 368, 369] and 370, respectively] (collectively, the "Objections"). The Committee responds to each such Objection as follows.

THE DEBTOR'S OBJECTIONS

Declaration Of John Walshe Murray

- 2. The Debtor has identified several objections to the Declaration of John Walshe Murray, to which the Committee responds as follows:
 - Page 3, lines 18-19: The objection should be overruled. It is explanatory of a. one of the reasons the Committee sent the correspondence referred to in that paragraph of which Mr. Murray has knowledge.
 - b. Page 4, lines 25-28: The objection should be overruled. The identified settlements were within the scope and coverage of the Settlement Protocol Order.
 - Page 5, lines 1-3: The objection should be overruled. It is clear from the c. context that the basis of the statement comes from communications with the Debtor.
 - d. Page 5, lines 8-15: The objection should be overruled. It is clear from the context that the basis of the statement comes from the Debtor's Monthly Operating Reports.
 - Page 5, lines 16-17: The objection should be overruled. It is clear from the e. context that the basis of the statement comes from the DECLARATION OF DWAYNE HANNAH IN SUPPORT OF SECOND MOTION TO APPROVE USE OF CASH COLLATERAL [Docket No. 255]. [See Declaration of John Walshe Murray, page 5 at lines 21-22].

///

h:\client matters\- f&r\tech properties\pl\motion re trustee & contempt\evid obj\resp

OMNIBUS RESPONSE TO OBJECTIONS TO DECLARATIONS IN SUPPORT OF MOTION OF CREDITORS' COMMITTEE FOR ORDERS:

28

27

28

B. **Declaration of Gloria Felcyn**

- 3. The Debtor has identified several objections to the Declaration of Gloria Felcyn, to which the Committee responds as follows:
 - Page 2, lines 9-10, 9-11, 12-13, 15-16, 16-18, 22-24, 24-25 and 27-28: The a. objection should be overruled as the testimony explains some of the bases for the Committee's frustration of which the Committee has authorized Ms. Felcyn to communicate in her declaration.
 - b. Page 3, lines 3-4, 5-6: The objection should be overruled as the testimony explains some of the bases for the Committee's frustration of which the Committee has authorized Ms. Felcyn to communicate in her declaration.
 - Page 3, lines 6-7: The objection should be overruled. It is based on the c. personal knowledge of Ms. Felcyn, and the statements of Mr. Leckrone are admissions of a party and an exception to the hearsay rule.
 - d. Page 3, lines 12-13: The objection should be overruled as it is explanatory of some of the bases for the Committee's frustration of which the Committee has authorized Ms. Felcyn to communicate in her declaration.

C. **Declaration of Carlton Johnson**

- 4. The Debtor has identified several objections to the Declaration of Carlton Johnson, to which the Committee responds as follows:
 - Page 2, lines 20-23: The objection should be overruled. The testimony is a. factual and within the personal knowledge of Mr. Johnson as a board member of Patriot and is explanatory of the allegations made by Mr. Leckrone.
 - b. Page 2, lines 6-7: The objection should be overruled. The testimony is factual and within the personal knowledge of Mr. Johnson as a board member of Patriot and is explanatory of the allegations made by Mr. Leckrone.
 - Page 4: lines 10-12: The objection should be overruled. It is within the c. personal knowledge of Mr. Johnson as a member of the PDS Management Committee.

1	d. Page 4, lines 14-18: The objection should be overruled. The statements by		
2	Dan Leckrone are exceptions to the hearsay rule as they constitute admissions		
3	by a party. No legal opinions are made.		
4	II. CONCLUSION		
5	5. For the foregoing reasons, the Committee respectfully submits that the Court should		
6	overrule the Objections.		
7	Dated: January 22, 2014 DORSEY & WHITNEY, LLP		
8			
9	By: <u>/s/ Robert A. Franklin</u> Robert A. Franklin		
10	Attorneys for the		
11	Official Unsecured Creditors Committee		
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

4 OMNIBUS RESPONSE TO OBJECTIONS TO DECLARATIONS IN SUPPORT OF MOTION OF CREDITORS' COMMITTEE FOR ORDERS: (1) DIRECTING THE APPOINTMENT OF A CHAPTER 11 TRUSTEE ... Entered: 01/22/14 10:59:25 Page 4 of

```
1
    JOHN WALSHE MURRAY (074823)
    ROBERT A. FRANKLIN (091653)
    THOMAS T. HWANG (218678)
    DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP
    305 Lytton Avenue
 3
    Palo Alto, CA 94301
    Telephone: (650) 857-1717
 4
    Facsimile: (650) 857-1288
    Email: murray.john@dorsey.com
    Email: franklin.robert@dorsey.com
 6
    Email: hwang.thomas@dorsey.com
 7
    Attorneys for Official Committee of
    Unsecured Creditors
 8
 9
                            UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
10
                            NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
11
                                       SAN JOSE DIVISION
12
    In re:
13
       TECHNOLOGY PROPERTIES LIMITED LLC.
                                                     Case No. 13-51589-SLJ-11
       fka Technology Properties Limited
14
       INC., A CALIFORNIA CORPORATION,
                                                            Chapter 11
       fka Technology Properties Limited,
15
        A CALIFORNIA CORPORATION,
                                                              January 23, 2014
                                                     Date:
                                                              10:00 a.m.
                                                     Time:
16
                                Debtor.
                                                              United States Bankruptcy Court
                                                     Place:
                                                              280 S. First Street, Room 3099
17
                                                              San Jose, CA 95113
                                                              Honorable Stephen L. Johnson
                                                     Judge:
18
                                      CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
19
    STATE OF CALIFORNIA
20
                                      ) ss.
21
    COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA
22
           I am a citizen of the United States and employed in Santa Clara County. I am over the age of
    eighteen years and not a party to the above-entitled action; my business address is 305 Lytton
23
24
    Avenue, Palo Alto, California 94301.
25
           On January 22, 2014, at my place of business, I served a true and correct copy of the
26
    following document(s):
27
    ///
28
    ///
                                                                             CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
    h:\client matters\- f&r\tech properties\pl\motion re trustee & contempt\evid obj\cos.docx
```

Case: 13-51589 Doc# 410-1 Filed: 01/22/14 Entered: 01/22/14 10:59:25 Page 1 of 3

1	1. OMNIBUS RESPONSE TO OBJECTIONS TO DECLARATIONS IN SUPPORT OF MOTION OF CREDITORS' COMMITTEE FOR ORDERS: (1) DIRECTING THE APPOINTMENT OF A		
2	CHAPTER 11 TRUSTEE; AND (2) DIRECTING THE DEBTOR AND DANIEL E. LECKRONE TO APPEAR AND SHOW CAUSE WHY THEY SHOULD NOT BE HELD IN CONTEMPT OF COURT		
3	FOR VIOLATION OF THIS COURT'S		
4	in the manner indicated below:		
5		d transmission of the Notification of Electronic	
6	Filing by the Clerk to a Registered Particip	bant(s), addressed as follows:	
7	UNITED STATES TRUSTEE Office of the U.S. Trustee	COUNSEL FOR DEBTOR AND DEBTOR-IN-POSSESSION	
8	John S. Wesolowski E-mail: john.wesolowski@usdoj.gov	Binder & Malter, LLP Heinz Binder	
9	OFFICE OF THE U.S. TRUSTEE/SJ	Robert G. Harris Wendy W. Smith	
10	USTPRegion17.SJ.ECF@usdj.gov;	E-mail: Heinz@bindermalter.com	
10	ltroxas@hotmail.com	E-mail: Rob@bindermalter.com	
11		E-mail: Wendy@bindermalter.com	
12	REQUEST FOR SPECIAL NOTICE		
13	COUNSEL FOR PATRIOT SCIENTIFIC CORP.	COUNSEL FOR SWAMY VENKIDU	
14	Gregory J. Charles, Esq. Law Offices of Gregory Charles	Javed I. Ellahie Ellahie & Farooqui LLP	
	E-mail: greg@gregcharleslaw.com	E-mail: Ellfarnotice@gmail.com	
15	Counsel for Phil Marcoux as Shareholder	COUNSEL FOR CUPERTINO CITY CENTER BLDGS.	
16	Representative for Chipscale Shareholders	Christopher H. Hart, Esq.	
	Wm. Thomas Lewis, Esq.	Schnader Harrison Segal & Lewis LLP	
17	Robertson & Lewis E-mail: wtl@roblewlaw.com	E-mail: chart@schnader.com	
18	E-man. wtr@10biewiaw.com	COUNSEL FOR ONEBEACON TECHNOLOGY INSURANCE	
	COUNSEL FOR ALLIACENSE LIMITED LLC	Gregg S. Kleiner, Esq.	
19	Peter C. Califano, Esq.	McKenna Long Aldridge LLP	
20	Cooper, White & Cooper	E-mail: gkleiner@mckennalong.com	
20	E-mail: pcalifano@cwclaw.com	COUNSEL FOR FUJITSU LIMITED	
21	Counsel for Farella Braun & Martel LLP	G. Larry Engel, Esq.	
	Gary M. Kaplan	Kristin A. Hiensch, Esq.	
22	Farella Braun & Martel LLP	Morrison & Foerster LLP	
22	E-mail: gkaplan@fbm.com	E-mail: LEngel@mofo.com	
23	Council For Apple Inc	KHiensch@mofo.com	
24	Counsel For Apple Inc. Adam A. Lewis, Esq.	Counsel for Chester A. and Marcie Brown, Jr.	
	Vincent J. Novak, Esq.	Randy Michelson, Esq.	
25	Morrison & Foerster	Michelson Law Group	
26	E-mail: alewis@mofo.com vnovak@mofo.com	E-mail: randy.michelson@michelsonlawgroup.com	
	, novak e molo.com		
27			
28	///		
	RAF:sb h:\client matters\- f&r\tech properties\pl\motion re trustee & contempt\evid obj\cos.docx	2 CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE	

Case: 13-51589 Doc# 410-1 Filed: 01/22/14 Entered: 01/22/14 10:59:25 Page 2 of 3

1	By Mail by enclosing said document(s) in an envelope and depositing the sealed envelope with the United States Postal Service with the postage fully prepaid, addressed as follows:		
2	Request For Special Notice		
3	Counsel for Charles H. Moore Kenneth H. Prochnow		
4	Robert C. Chiles		
5	Chiles and Prochnow, LLP 2600 El Camino Real, Suite 412		
6	Palo Alto, CA 94306-1719		
7	This Certificate was executed on January 22, 2014, at Palo Alto, Santa Clara County,		
8	California. I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.		
9			
10	/s/ Sandra Bloomer SANDRA BLOOMER		
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	RAF:sb CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE		

 $RAF:sb \\ h:\client matters\- f\&r\tech properties\pl\motion re trustee \& contempt\evid obj\cos.docx$