1	HEINZ BINDER, ESQ., ID #96533 ROBERT G. HARRIS, ESQ., ID #124678		
2	Binder & Malter, LLP 2775 PARK AVENUE		
3	Santa Clara, California 95050 Telephone: (408) 295-1700		
4	Facsimile: (408) 295-1531		
5	Email: <u>Heinz@bindermalter.com</u> Email: <u>Rob@bindermalter.com</u>		
6 7	Attorneys for Reorganized Debtor Technology Properties Limited, LLC		
8			
9	UNITED STATES BA	ANKRUPTCY COURT	
10	NORTHERN DISTR	ICT OF CALIFORNIA	
11	SAN JOSE DIVISION		
12	In re	Case No: 13-51589 SLJ	
13	TECHNOLOGY PROPERTIES LIMITED,	Chapter 11	
14	LLC,	Date: September 21, 2016	
15	Debtor.	Time: 2:00 p.m. Place: Courtroom 3099	
16		280 South First Street San Jose, California	
17			
18			
19			
20	SUPPLEMENTAL MEMORANDU		
21	SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM DEFAULT ON ORDER RE REQUEST OF MICHAEL DAVIS FOR PAYMENT OF		K KĽ
22	ADMINISTRATIVE EXPER	NSE (FRCP 60(b); FRBP 9024)	
23			
24			
25			
26	SUPPLEMENTAL MEMORANDUM OF POINTS ANI	AUTHORITIES	Page 1
27			-
28	Case: 13-51589 Doc# 769 Filed: 08/26/1	LO EILIEIEU. 08/20/16 17:11:34	Paye 1 01

Π

Reorganized debtor Technology Properties Limited, LLC ("TPL") hereby submits this Supplemental Memorandum of Points and Authorities for the limited purpose of addressing the query of the Court from the August 22, 2016 hearing: under the law of the 9<sup>th</sup> Circuit is Rule 60(b)(1) available to a party when the act from which relief is sought was intentionally taken?<sup>1</sup>

TPL believes that the leading case in the Ninth Circuit is *Latshaw v. Trainer Wortham* & *Co.*, 452 F.3d 1097 (9th Cir. Cal. 2006). In *Latshaw* the issue before the Court was whether the investor who accepted an offer of judgment under Fed. R. Civ. P. §68 based upon mistakes purportedly originating from her attorney was not entitled to relief under Fed. R. Civ. P. §60(b)(1).

In *Latshaw*, the moving party Elizabeth Latshaw, an investor in the firm of Trainer Wortham & Company, Inc. argued that she accepted an offer of judgment in the case below based upon fraud by her counsel. Mrs. Latshaw claimed that her attorney had erroneously advised her that she might be liable for the defendants' attorneys' fees if she did not sign the offer of judgment and believed that both of her attorneys intended to resign. *Id.* at 1100.

The 9<sup>th</sup> Circuit focused on two factual points: first, that the alleged mistakes from which relief were sought arose from Mrs. Latshaw's own attorney's misconduct; and, second, her decision to accept the offer that had been found to be both deliberate and independent.

In its analysis, the 9<sup>th</sup> Circuit re-stated the general rule that "…parties are bound by the actions of their lawyers, and alleged attorney malpractice does not usually provide a basis to set aside a judgment pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. §60(b)(1)." *Id.* at 1101, citing *Engleson v*. *Burlington Northern Railroad Co.*, 972 F.2d 1038, 1043 (9th Cir. 1989). The 9th Circuit went on to state that "[g]enerally speaking, Rule 60(b) is not intended to remedy the effects of a deliberate and independent litigation decision that a party later comes to regret through second

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> While the filing of a supplemental brief was not specifically requested, TPL believes that it is duty-bound to answer the question as to potentially controlling authority raised by the Court and will ask for permission to include this filing as part of the September 21 hearing.

1

2

thoughts or subsequently-gained knowledge that corrects prior erroneous legal advice of counsel." *Latshaw* at 1099.

The 9<sup>th</sup> Circuit found that neither of the investor's alleged mistakes are among those that Fed. R. Civ. P. §60(b)(1) is intended to remedy, and that the mistakes, if true, arose from attorney misconduct. *Id.* at 1101. The 9<sup>th</sup> Circuit, in ruling against Mrs. Latshaw, concluded that "Latshaw's decision to accept the offer was deliberate and independent." *Id.* at 1101-1102.

By contrast many courts have held that reliance upon representations made by **adverse parties** can constitute excusable neglect under Fed. R. Civ. P. §60(b)(1). *e.g. Heritage Leasing Corp. v. Kehoe Warehouse & Distributing Co.*, 1983 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 19224, \*4, (W.D. Pa. Feb. 16, 1983) (Defendant's argued failure to file pre-trial conference statement because of reliance upon plaintiff's vice-president that no further proceedings were required); *Liberty Nat'l Bank & Trust Co. v. Yackovich*, 99 F.R.D. 518, 520, (W.D. Pa. 1982) (Court found excusable neglect where plaintiff agreed to inform defendants before taking a default judgment and subsequently failed to give such notice).

The facts of the case at bar are distinguishable from those in *Latshaw*. First, TPL did not rely on erroneous legal advice or negligence of its own counsel. Rather, TPL relied on the party to whom its \$75,000 payment was due, Mr. Davis, and his express representations allowing TPL additional time to pay.<sup>2</sup> Second, Dorsey & Whitney, acting not as counsel but as an administrative claimant, threatened TPL that payment as it had been proposed would be a violation of the terms of the plan and would subject TPL to liability.<sup>3</sup> Third, TPL's non-payment in this case was not a deliberate and independent legal decision that it came to later regret but was in direct response to the representations<sup>4</sup> made by Mr. Davis and threats made by

28

24

25

26

27

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Declaration of Swamy Venkidu in Support of Motion for Relief from Default on Order Re Request of Michael Davis for Payment of Administrative Expense ("Venkidu Decl."), ¶ 18, 19)
<sup>3</sup> Venkidu Decl., ¶ 20.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> TPL has confirmed that the date of the text received from Mr. Davis was June 8, 2016, and did not provide consent to a delay in payment prior to the date that it was due.

SUPPLEMENTAL MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

1	Dorsey & Whitney	$y.^5$ Thus, the	Court here should r	ot find the Latshaw case binding	so as to
2	preclude the relief	TPL has requ	lested.		
3	Date: August 25, 20	016		BINDER & MALTER, LLP	
4					
5				By: <u>/s/ Robert G. Harris</u> Robert G. Harris	
6					
7					
8					
9					
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26	<sup>5</sup> Venkidu Decl., ¶ 20	).			
27	SUPPLEMENTAL M	EMORANDUM	I OF POINTS AND AU	JTHORITIES	Page 4
28	Case: 13-51589	Doc# 769	Filed: 08/26/16	Entered: 08/26/16 17:11:34	Page 4 of
	1				

1 2 3 4 5	Heinz Binder (SBN87908) Robert G. Harris (SBN 124678) David B. Rao (SBN103147) BINDER & MALTER, LLP 2775 Park Avenue Santa Clara, CA 95050 Telephone: (408)295-1700 Facsimile: (408) 295-1531 Email: heinz@bindermalter.com Email: rob@bindermalter.com		
6 7	Email: <u>david@bindermalter.com</u>		
7 8	Attorneys for Reorganized Debtor TECHNOLOGY PROPERTIES LIMITED, LLC		
9	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT		
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
11	SAN JOSE DIVISION		
12	In re	Case No: 13-51589 SLJ	
13	TECHNOLOGY PROPERTIES LIMITED, LLC,	Chapter 11	
14	LLC,	Date: September 21, 2016 Time: 2:00 p.m.	
15		Place: Courtroom 3099 280 South First Street	
16	Debtor.	San Jose, California	
17	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE		
18	I, Natalie D. Gonzalez, declare:		
19	I am employed in the County of Santa Clara, California. I am over the age of eighteen		
20 21	(18) years and not a party to the within entitled ca	use; my business address is 2775 Park Avenue,	
21	Santa Clara, California 95050.		
23	On August 26, 2016 I served a true and correct copy of the following document(s):		
24	SUPPLEMENTAL MEMORANDUM OF POINTS & AUTHORITIES IN		
25	SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM DEFAULT ON ORDER RE REQUEST OF MICHAEL DAVIS FOR PAYMENT OF		
26	ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSE (FRCP 60(b); FRBP 9024)		
27	via electronic transmission and/or the Court's CM/ECF notification system to the parties		
28	registered to receive notice as follows:		
	<b>መደኛው፤ በሚሞታ የሚሞታ የመ</b> ንሰት The content of the conten	<b>U</b>	

1	<u>U.S. Trustee</u>	Counse
2	John Wesoloski	Marcia
-	United States Trustee	Greenfi
3	Office of the U.S. Trustee	55 S. M
	280 So. First St., Room 268	San Jos
4	San Jose, CA 95113	Email:
5	Email: john.wesolowski@usdoj.gov	Email:
6	Unsecured Creditors Committee Attorney	Special Charles
	c/o Robert Franklin, Esq. c/o Thomas Hwang, Esq.	c/o Ken
7	Dorsey & Whitney LLP	Chiles a
8	305 Lytton Avenue	2600 E
ð	Palo Alto, CA 94301	Palo Al
9	Email: <u>franklin.robert@dorsey.com</u>	Email:
Ū	Email: <u>hwang.thomas@dorsey.com</u>	
10		Willian
	Special Notice	Roberts
11	Patriot Scientific Corp.	150 Alı
12	c/o Gregory J. Charles, Esq.	San Jos
12	Law Offices of Gregory Charles	Email:
13	2131 The Alameda Suite C-2	<b>a</b>
	San Jose, CA 95126	Cuperti
14	Email: greg@gregcharleslaw.com	c/o Chr
4.5	Arockiyoswomy Vonkidu	Schnad One Mo
15	Arockiyaswamy Venkidu c/o Javed I. Ellahie	San Fra
16	Ellahie & Farooqui LLP	Email:
10	12 S. First St., Suite 600	Linun.
17	San Jose, CA 95113	Peter C
	Email: javed@eflawfirm.com	Cooper
18		201 Cal
19	OneBeacon Technology Insurance	San Fra
19	c/o Gregg S. Kleiner, Esq.	E-Mail:
20	McKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP	
	One Market Plaza	Sallie K
21	Spear Tower, 24th Floor	GCA L
	San Francisco, CA 94105	2570 W
22	Email: <u>gkleiner@mckennalong.com</u>	Mounta
23	Chester A. Brown, Jr. and Marcie Brown	Email:
04	Randy Michelson	Toshiba
24	Michelson Law Group	c/o Jon
25	220 Montgomery Street, Suite 2100	Baker E
20	San Francisco, CA 94104	1001 Pa
26	Email: <u>randy.michelson@michelsonlawgroup.com</u>	Buildin
		Palo Al
27		Email:
28		
20		

## el to Michael Davis

E. Gerston eld Draa & Harrington LLP Market St. #1500 se, CA 95113 mgerston@greenfieldlaw.com mharrington@greenfieldlaw.com

## <u>| Notice</u>

H. Moore nneth Prochnow, Esq. and Prochnow, LLP a Camino Real, Suite, 412 lto, Ca 94306 kprochnow@chilesprolaw.com

n Thomas Lewis, Esq. son & Lewis maden Blvd., Suite 950 e. CA 95113 wtl@roblewlaw.com

no City Center Buildings ristopher H. Hart, Esq. er Harrison Segal & Lewis LLP ontgomery Street, Suite 2200 uncisco, CA 94104 chart@schnader.com

. Califano, Esq. , White & Cooper LLP lifornia Street, 17th Floor ncisco, California 94111 pcalifano@cwclaw.com

Kim aw Partners LLP V. El Camino Real, Suite 510 ain View, CA 94040 skim@gcalaw.com

a Corporation Swenson Botts L.L.P. age Mill Road g One, Suite 200 lto, CA 94304 jon.swenson@bakerbotts.com

of 3

@aseICAT505599VICFoc# 769-1 Filed: 08/26/16 Entered: 08/26/16 17:11:34@ Page 2

1	Apple, Inc	Jessica L. Voyce, Esq	
2	c/o Adam A. Lewis, Esq.	C. Luckey McDowell	
-	Vincent J. Novak, Esq.	Baker Botts L.L.P.	
3	Morrison & Foerster LLP	2001 Ross Avenue, Suite 600	
4	425 Market St.	Dallas, TX 75201	
4	San Francisco, CA 94105	Email: jessica.voyce@bakerbotts.com	
5	Email: <u>alewis@mofo.com</u> Email: <u>vnovak@mofo.com</u>	Email: <u>luckey.mcdowell@bakerbotts.com</u>	
		Attorneys for Sony Corporation	
6	Counsel for Hewlett-Packard Compa	••••	
7	Ellen A. Friedman	Sedgwick, LLP	
'	Friedman, Dumas and Springwater	333 Bush Street, 30 <sup>th</sup> Floor	
8	33 New Montgomery St, #290	San Francisco, CA 94104	
	San Francisco, CA 94105	Email:	
9	Email: <u>efriedman@friedmanspring.c</u>	om <u>lillian.stenfeldt@sedgwicklaw.com</u>	
10	Counsel for Cupertino City Center	Attorney for HSM Portfolio LLC	
	James E. Sell	MCM Portfolio LLC	
11	Parton Sell Rhoades	Michael St. James, Esq.	
12	900 Larkspur Landing Circle, Suite		
12	Larkspur, CA 94939	155 Montgomery Street, Suite 1004	
13	Email: jsell@partonsell.com	San Francisco, California 94104	
	VIA ECF	Email: <u>Ecf@stjames-law.com</u>	
14	HTC Corporation		
15	c/o Robert L. Eisenbach III		
	Cooley LLP		
16	101 California Street, 5th Floor		
17	San Francisco, CA 94111-5800		
17	Email: <u>reisenbach@cooley.com</u>		
18			
19	Executed on August 26, 2016, at Santa Clara, California. I certify under penalty of		
20	perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.		
		101 Natalia D. Consalas	
21		<u>/s/ Natalie D. Gonzalez</u> Natalie D. Gonzalez	
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
20			
	(ርደደጅ የርትያን 5 ዓም VI (ው c# 769-1 Fi	ed: 08/26/16 Entered: 08/26/16 17:11:34ge Page 3 of 3	